

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2638 OF 2003

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

M/S. MUKAND LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF ORISSA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report )

Date: 28/09/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr Bibek Mohanti, Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms Apurva Upmanyu, Adv.  
Mrs. Kirti Renu Mishra,Adv.  
Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta I ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Initially the ld. Advocate, Mr Ashok Kumar Gupta has filed appearance for respondent No.4-National Aluminium Company Limited. Now since Mr Ashok Kumar Gupta has been designated as a Senior Advocate, registry has to serve a fresh notice for making alternative arrangement to respondent No.4. The cause title categorically discloses that petitioner has disclosed respondent No.4 as proforma respondent and probably they are sister concern or at least they are from the same locality. Even before the High Court, respondent No.4 was disclosed as proforma respondent by the petitioner.

-2-

Item No.50

Therefore, petitioner is directed to serve dasti notice for making alternative arrangement by respondent No.4. Registry has to issue dasti notice without additional copies of pleadings and without process fee before 8.10.2012 without fail.

Dasti service is to be confirmed before 31.10.2012.

List again on 31.10.2012.

|  
|

|  
|

|(S.G.SHAH)  
|REGISTRAR

|  
|

hj