



ITEM NO.15

COURT NO.1

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.3557/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-02-2021 in CR MBA No. 7749/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad)

SHYAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 56221/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 71420/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 56222/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. & IA No. 71416/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 04-08-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI  
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Nagendra Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Ashish Pandey, Adv.  
Ms. Akansha, Adv.  
Dr. Amardeep Gaur, Adv.  
For M/s. V. Maheshwari & Co., AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ronak Karanpuria, Adv.  
Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh, AOR  
Mr. Ram Singh Baliyan, Adv.  
Mr. Ombir Sangwan, Adv.  
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. P.S. Tomar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Applications seeking exemption from filing certified copy of the impugned order as also for seeking exemption from filing official translation of the Annexures are allowed.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, learned counsel appearing for the State of Uttar Pradesh and carefully perused the material available on record, including the counter affidavit filed by the State.

3. During the course of hearing, we are informed by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that charges have already been framed against the petitioner by the learned trial judge in the year 2018 but so far, not even a single witness has been examined in the matter.

4. In view of the above and taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is reported to be in custody since 10-10-2015 and has suffered incarceration for over 6 years 9 months and there being no likelihood of completion of trial in the near future, which fact cannot be controverted by learned counsel appearing for the State of U.P., we are inclined to grant him bail.

5. The petitioner is, therefore, directed to be released on bail, subject to such terms and conditions as the concerned Trial Court may deem fit and find appropriate to impose upon him.

6. The Special Leave Petition stands disposed of on the above terms.

7. Pending applications filed in the matter also stand disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(R.S. NARAYANAN)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)