

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).28244/2011

MEHAR CHAND

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report )

WITH

SLP(C) NO.28900/2011

SLP(C) NO.25394/2011

Date: 11/02/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Nirmal Chopra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Govind Goel, Adv.  
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.28244/2011

As per office report, petitioner is supposed to take steps against respondent Nos. 1 and 2, who happens to be State of Haryana and its officers. However, the ld. Advocate, Ms Nupur Choudhary appearing on behalf of Mr Kamal Mohan Gupta, Advocate-on-record, standing counsel for the State of Haryana, has made a submission at Bar that they have been duly

-2-

Item No.3

served and have been served the copies. Four weeks' time is granted for filing vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.3 has already come on record.

SLP(C) NO.28900/2011

As per office report, petitioner is supposed to take steps against respondent Nos. 1 to 3, who happen to be State of Haryana and its officers. However, the ld. Advocate, Ms Nupur Choudhary appearing on behalf of Mr Kamal Mohan Gupta, Advocate-on-record, standing counsel for the State of Haryana, has made a submission at Bar that they have been duly served and have been served the copies. Four weeks' time is granted for filing vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit.

SLP(C) NO.25394/2011

As per office report, petitioner is supposed to take steps against respondent Nos. 1 and 2, who happens to be State of Haryana and its officers. However, the ld. Advocate, Ms Nupur Choudhary appearing on behalf of Mr Kamal Mohan Gupta, Advocate-on-record, standing counsel for the State of Haryana, has made a submission at Bar that they have been duly served and have been served the copies. Four weeks' time is granted for filing vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No.3 has already come on record.

Respondent no.4 is reported to be duly served but none appeared nor any steps taken on his behalf.

-3-

Item No.3

The ld. Counsel for the petitioner has made a submission at Bar that he would be moving the necessary application for deleting respondent No.5 from the array of parties as he is just a proforma respondent. Necessary steps be taken.

List again on 20.3.2013.

		(M.A.SAYEED)	
		REGISTRAR	

hj