

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).28245-28247/2011

JAI BHAGWAN & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP,c/delay in refiling SLP and office report )

WITH

SLP(C)..CC NO.11911/2009

SLP(C)..CC NO.12325-12327/2009

SLP(C)..CC NO.11756/2006

SLP(C) NO.22835/2009

SLP(C) NO.21501/2011

(With office report)

Date: 09/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr Vibhuti Sushant Gupta, Adv.  
Dr. Kailash Chand,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.28245-28247/2011  
Deleted.

-2-

Item No.3

SLP(C)..CC NO.11911/2009

Despite accepting notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2, the ld. Standing counsel, Mr Kamal Mohan Gupta has failed to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit on record. Both respondents are ordered to proceed ex parte. However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C)..CC NO.12325-12327/2009

Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are granted three weeks' time as final chance for filing counter affidavit.

Dasti in respect of respondent No.3 is awaited.

SLP(C)..CC NO.11756/2009

Despite accepting notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2, the ld. Standing counsel, Mr Kamal Mohan Gupta has failed to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit on record. Both respondents are ordered to proceed ex parte.

Dasti in respect of respondent NO.3 is awaited.

SLP(C) NO.22835/2009

Despite accepting notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2, the ld. Standing counsel, Mr Kamal Mohan Gupta has failed to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit on record. Both respondents are ordered to proceed ex parte.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.21501/2011

Respondent Nos.1 to 3 are reported to be duly served by post and dasti but none appeared on their behalf.

-3-

Item No.3

It is pertinent to note that respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have been duly served through dasti also though no orders for dasti were solicited by the ld. Counsel for the petitioner but since they have been duly and properly served, same is being taken on record.

List again on 18.10.2013.

| | (M.A.SAYEED) |  
| | REGISTRAR |

hj