

ITEM NO.19

COURT NO.4

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 250/2007

NANDINI SUNDAR . & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHATTISGARH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

I.A.NO. 9 ,10 AND 17 (DIRECTION AND MODIFICATION/CLARIFICATION OF ORDER DATED 10.2.17)

WITH

T.P. (C) No. 578/2017 (XVI -A)

(FOR EX-PARTE STAY ON IA 1/2017 and IA No.2882/2018-CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION and IA No.2883/2018-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 140/2012 In W.P. (C) No. 250/2007 (PIL-W)

W.P. (Cr1.) No. 119/2007 (PIL-W)

Date : 27-03-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.
Ms. Vrinda Grover, Adv.
Ms. Anindita Pujari, AOR
Ms. Kavita Bhardwaj, Adv.
S. Banerjee, Adv.
Ms. Nitya Ramakrishnan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kripalani, Adv.
Ms. Shuhasini Sen, Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Vibha Dutta Makhija, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR

Mr. Aman Lekhi, ASG
Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Bharat Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Anshul Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Harsha, Adv.

Mr. Bharat Singh, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Jha, Adv.

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

NHRC

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR

Ms. Srishti Agnihotri, Adv.

Ms. Remya Raj, Adv.

(As per appearance slip)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

There is a letter for adjournment on the ground that learned standing counsel for the State of Chhattisgarh is in personal difficulty. Notwithstanding the above, we had looked at I.A. No.10 filed by the petitioner on pages 44 and 45 of the IA. Some incidents have been mentioned which require investigation by the NHRC and remedial action.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of NHRC says that some steps have been taken and an affidavit has been filed in this regard but it is very difficult to co-relate the incidents with the action taken.

Learned counsel for the NHRC says that she will file a better affidavit indicating the steps taken with regard to each incident.

If any incident is sub-divided into different categories that will be shown under the main heading.

We have no doubt that the NHRC will conduct an independent investigation taking all relevant material on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that an application has been moved before the NHRC for the petitioner to appear in person and explain the incident. The NHRC may take a decision on the application.

Affidavit be filed within four weeks by the NHRC.

List the matter on 4th May, 2018.

I.A.9/2015

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that in respect of RC NO. 8 and RC NO. 9 of 2011, a protest petition has been filed by the petitioner but it appears that no further steps have been taken by the Trial Judge in this matter.

We accordingly require the Special Judge, CBI, Raipur to give a status report with regard to RC Nos. 8 and 9 of 2011 as well as the protest petition filed by the petitioner.

Needful be done within four weeks.

List the matter on 4th May, 2018.

(MEENAKSHI KOHLI)
COURT MASTER

(KAILASH CHANDER)
COURT MASTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 250/2007

NANDINI SUNDAR . & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHATTISGARH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

I.A.NO. 9 ,10 AND 17 (DIRECTION AND MODIFICATION/CLARIFICATION OF
ORDER DATED 10.2.17)

WITH

T.P. (C) No. 578/2017 (XVI -A)

(FOR EX-PARTE STAY ON IA 1/2017 and IA
No.2882/2018-CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION and IA No.2883/2018-EXEMPTION
FROM FILING O.T.)

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 140/2012 In W.P. (C) No. 250/2007 (PIL-W)

W.P. (Crl.) No. 119/2007 (PIL-W)

Date : 27-03-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.
Ms. Vrinda Grover, Adv.
Ms. Anindita Pujari, AOR
Ms. Kavita Bhardwaj, Adv.
S. Banerjee, Adv.
Ms. Nitya Ramakrishnan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kripalani, Adv.
Ms. Shuhasini Sen, Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Vibha Dutta Makhija, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR

Mr. Aman Lekhi, ASG
Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Anshul Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Harsha, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Singh, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Jha, Adv.
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

NHRC

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR
Ms. Srishti Agnihotri, Adv.
Ms. Remya Raj, Adv.

(As per appearance slip)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

There is a letter for adjournment on the ground that learned standing counsel for the State of Chhattisgarh is in personal difficulty. Notwithstanding the above, we had looked at I.A. No.10 filed by the petitioner on pages 44 and 45 of the IA. Some incidents have been mentioned which require investigation by the NHRC and remedial action.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of NHRC says that some steps have been taken and an affidavit has been filed in this regard but it is very difficult to co-relate the incidents with the action taken.

Learned counsel for the NHRC says that she will file a better affidavit indicating the steps taken with regard to each incident. If any incident is sub-divided into different categories that will

be shown under the main heading.

We have no doubt that the NHRC will conduct an independent investigation taking all relevant material on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that an application has been moved before the NHRC for the petitioner to appear in person and explain the incident. The NHRC may take a decision on the application.

Affidavit be filed within four weeks by the NHRC.

List the matter on 4th May, 2018.

I.A.9/2015

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that in respect of RC NO. 8 and RC NO. 9 of 2011, a protest petition has been filed by the petitioner but it appears that no further steps have been taken by the Trial Judge in this matter.

We accordingly require the Sub-Judge, CBI, Raipur to give a status report with regard to RC Nos. 8 and 9 of 2011 as well as the protest petition filed by the petitioner.

Needful be done within four weeks.

List the matter on 4th May, 2018.

(MEENAKSHI KOHLI)
COURT MASTER

(KAILASH CHANDER)
COURT MASTER