

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3306/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 15/12/2010 in CRLR No.1708/2010
of The HIGH COURT OF A.P. AT HYDERABAD)

B.RENUKA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

TIRUPATHI SRINIVAS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 26/03/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. B. Vishal Gouthan, Adv.
 Mr. Ambhoj Kumar Sinha, Adv.
 Mr. Tenzin Tsering, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Avneesh Garg, Adv.
 Mr. P. V. Saravana Raja, Adv.
 Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu ,Adv
Ms. Savita Devi, Adv.
Mr. Amit Nair, Adv.
Mr. Parthavi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. B. Vishal Gouthan, learned counsel for the
petitioner.

We have perused the order of the High Court. The High
Court in the impugned order has reproduced the deposition of
PW1 and then observed that PW1 has not spoken in her
deposition that the accused attempted to outrage her
2

modesty. Having observed that, the High Court quashed the
charge under Section 354 Indian Penal Code framed by the
trial court against the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

We do not find any error in the impugned order.

Special leave petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Renu Diwan)
Court Master