

ITEM NO.5

COURT NO.3

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Criminal) No(s). 175/2022

MOHD. NASEER

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT GOVERNMENT OF INDIA &amp; ANR. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.66917/2022-GRANT OF BAIL )

WITH

W.P. (Cr1.) No. 222/2022 (X)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.82534/2022-INTERIM BAIL)

SLP(Cr1) No. 5709/2022 (II-B)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.

IA No. 85410/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT)

Date : 21-07-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Malvika Trivedi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Swarnendu Chatterjee, AOR  
Mr. Himanshu Nailwad, Adv.  
Ms. Pracheta Kar, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Sidhna, Adv.  
Mr. Yashwardhan Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Deepakshi Garg, Adv.

Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav, AOR  
Mr. Sharad Chauhan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta LD SG  
Mr. S.V. Raju, Ld. ASG  
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR  
Mr. Kanu agarwal, Adv  
Mr. Zoheb Hussain, Adv  
Ms. Sairica S. Raju, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

W.P.(Cr1) No(s). 175/2022 and SLP(Cr1.) No. 5709 of 2022

In view of the letter circulated by the learned counsel for the respondent seeking adjournment on the ground of non-availability of Mr. S.V. Raju, learned Additional Solicitor General, list these matters on 28.07.2022.

W.P.(Cr1.) No. 222/2022

A request for adjournment is made on the ground of non-availability of Mr. S.V. Raju, learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the respondent-Union of India.

It is pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner that the adjournment was taken in the past by the respondent(s) and there is some urgency involved.

By way of indulgence, we defer the hearing of these matters till next week, to be taken on 28.07.2022.

Pendency of this matter will not deprive the petitioner to mention the matter before the concerned Judge of the High Court where the bail application is still reportedly pending for pronouncement of judgment, including before the Chief Justice of the High Court for appropriate directions, if so advised.

(DEEPAK SINGH)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIDYA NEGI)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

