

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.11

SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

I.A. 2/2014 in Civil Appeal

No(s). 802/2013

REG.DIR., ERSTWHILE INDIAN AIRLINES LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

B.BABAI

Respondent(s)

(for clarification of court's order dated 30.01.2013 and office report)

Date : 28/01/2015 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.
Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Mudit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Parvez A. Khan, Adv.
Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Adinarayana Rao, Sr.Adv.
Mr. R. Santhan Krishnan, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Kumar Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Kumar Archiya, Adv.
Mr. C. S. N. Mohan Rao, Adv.

Mr. John Mathew, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. No.2 of 2014 is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)
Court Master

(Indu Pokhriyal)
Court Master

Signature Not Verified

(Signed order is placed on the file)

Digitally signed by

Sukhbir Paul Kaur

Date: 2015.01.28

17:10:08 IST

Reason:

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2 OF 2014

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.802 OF 2013

THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR, ERSTWHILE INDIAN
AIRLINES LIMITED NOW KNOWN AS AIR INDIA
LIMITED

APPELLANT(S)

Versus

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the applicant-respondent and perused the interlocutory application for clarification of this Court's Order dated 30.1.2013.

We do not find any reason to clarify the Order dated 30.1.2013. The interlocutory application is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
(M.Y. EQBAL)

.....J.
(R.K. AGRAWAL)

New Delhi,
January 28, 2015