

WP(C) 915/16

1

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.3

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition (Civil) No.915/2016

JITENDRA SINGH SENGAR AND ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH AND ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for interim relief and impleadment and intervention and office report)

Date : 07/12/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anand Nandan, Adv.

Mr. Amit Pawan, AOR

Mr. Abhishek Amritanshu, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vivek Vishnoi, Adv.

Mr. M.R. Shamshad, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Vishnoi, Adv.

Mr. M.R. Shamshad, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, AOR

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. P.N. Misra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. K. L. Janjani, AOR

Ms. Varsha Rana, Adv.

Mr. Mohan Prasaran, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, AOR

Ms. Suresh Kumari, Adv.

Mr. Siddartha Dave, Adv.

Mr. R.K. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Gaurav, Adv.

Mr. B.N. Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.

WP(C) 915/16

2

Mr. Gunjan Singh, Adv.

Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR

Mr. Ravindra Shrivastava, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, AOR

Mr. Pulkit Tare, Adv.

Ms. Akanksha Sisodia, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sibal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Manoj Prasad, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ajay K. Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Alexander Iqbal, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Kamakshi S. Mehlwal, AOR

Mr. Vivek K. Tankha, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Fuzail Ahmad Ayyubi, AOR

Mr. Abhishek Sirivastava, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Mishra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Shovan Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Shantanu Krishna, Adv.

Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.

Ms. Nivedita Nair, Adv.

Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. G. Balaji, Adv.

Ms. Shiva Vijaya Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Let the matter be listed on 9 th
January, 2017, to

enable Mr. Vivek Vishnoi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, learned counsel for the State of U.P., to obtain instructions and file an affidavit of the concerned department whether any further â- Siksha Mitrasâ- \235 are being appointed on regular basis or engaged in any manner. Be it noted, though we have stayed the order passed by the High Court so that Siksha Mitras would not be affected without any adjudication by this court, that does not empower the State to appoint any Siksha Mitras. Hence, we have issued this direction to have a clarification from the concerned department.

WP(C) 915/16

3

Call on the date fixed.

(Chetan Kumar)

Court Master (H.S. Parasher)

Court Master