

ITEM NO.1

(REVISED)
REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. SANJAY PARIHAR

Original Suite (s). No(s). 1/2008

THE STATE OF HARYANA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(ONLY OS 2/2007 MAY BE LISTED AGAINST THIS ITEM FOR RECORDING
CROSS-EXAMINATION IN REGISTRAR COURT NO. 2 AT 2.30 PM)

WITH

ORGNL.SUIT No. 2/2007

Date : 31-01-2018 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG, Rajasthan
Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Raj, Adv.
Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, AAG
Mr. R.K. Rajwanshi, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Shekhar Suman, Adv.
Ms. Rita Gupta, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Suri, Senior Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.
Mr. Vinay K. Shailendra, Adv.

Mr. J.S. Attri, Senior Adv.
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Nand Jha, Adv.

Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Shri Naresh Kumar Jasrai, witness of Defendant No. 5 has
been cross-examined by the plaintiff. The State of Rajasthan does

not intend to produce more witness. So, evidence of State of Rajasthan is closed. Defendant No. 3, State of Himachal Pradesh represented by Shri J.S. Attri, Senior Advocate says that though the State of Himachal Pradesh has led the affidavit of one of its witness and that he would be seeking instructions from the State as to whether such witness is to be produced or not. For that, he needs time. Granted.

List on 13th March, 2018 at 2:30 P.M.

SANJAY PARIHAR
Registrar

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. SANJAY PARIHAR

Original Suite (s). No(s). 1/2008

THE STATE OF HARYANA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(ONLY OS 2/2007 MAY BE LISTED AGAINST THIS ITEM FOR RECORDING
CROSS-EXAMINATION IN REGISTRAR COURT NO. 2 AT 2.30 PM)

WITH

ORGNL.SUIT No. 2/2007

Date : 31-01-2018 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG, Rajasthan
Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Raj, Adv.
Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AORMr. Anish Kumar Gupta, AAG
Mr. R.K. Rajwanshi, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Shekhar Suman, Adv.
Ms. Rita Gupta, Adv.Mr. R.S. Suri, Senior Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.
Mr. Vinay K. Shailendra, Adv.Mr. J.S. Attri, Senior Adv.
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Nand Jha, Adv.Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Shri Naresh Kumar Jasrai, witness of Defendant No. 5 has been cross-examined by the plaintiff. The State of Rajasthan does not intend to produce more witness. So, evidence of State of

Rajasthan is closed. Defendant No. 3, State of Himachal Pradesh represented by Shri Varinder Kumar Sharma, Advocate says that though the State of Himachal Pradesh has led the affidavit of one of its witness and that he would be seeking instructions from the State as to whether such witness is to be produced or not. For that, he needs time. Granted.

List on 13th March, 2018 at 2:30 P.M.

SANJAY PARIHAR
Registrar