

ITEM NO.24

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. SANJAY PARIHAR

Original Suite (s). No(s). 1/2008

THE STATE OF HARYANA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(ONLY OS 2/2007 MAY BE LISTED AGAINST THIS ITEM- FOR DIRECTIONS REGARDING FURTHER RECORDING OF EVIDENCE IN REGISTRAR COURT NO. 2 AT 2.30 PM)

WITH
ORGNL.SUIT No. 2/2007 (XVII)

Date : 09-04-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, AOR
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG
Mr. Vikramjit Banderjee, ASG
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

Mr. J.S. Attri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajay Marwah, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Nand Jha, Adv.

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR
Mr. Vinay K. Shailendra, Adv.

Mr. P.Kumar Biswal, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Sharma, AOR.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR

Mr. Jagdeep Dhankar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, AOR
Ms. Priyanka Parida, Adv.
Ms. Harsha, Adv.

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, AOR
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, AOR
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR
Ms. Pooja Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, AOR

Mr. Prakash Gautam, Adv.
Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, Adv.
Ms. Fauzia Shakil, Adv.
Mr. Ujjwal Singh, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, Adv.
Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Matter stood posted for production of witness of defendant No. 3 i.e. State of Himachal Pradesh. Today, it is submitted by Shri J.S. Attri, learned Senior Counsel representing State of Himachal Pradesh that he is under instructions not to produce the witness for the purpose of cross-examination and that the affidavit of the witness lead by State of Himachal Pradesh be not lead in evidence.

Statement taken on record. In this background, the on-oath statement given by way of affidavit shall be taken off the record.

Whereas Defendant No. 4 State of Jammu and Kashmir has earlier filed on-oath statement of witness Verinder Badial. Learned counsel for the Defendant No. 4 is, therefore, directed to produce his witness for the purpose of cross-examination, in case he desires so. He seeks time to obtain instructions in this regard. Granted.

List on 7th May, 2018 at 2.30 P.M.

SANJAY PARIHAR
Registrar

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. SANJAY PARIHAR

Original Suite (s). No(s). 1/2008

THE STATE OF HARYANA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(ONLY OS 2/2007 MAY BE LISTED AGAINST THIS ITEM- FOR DIRECTIONS REGARDING FURTHER RECORDING OF EVIDENCE IN REGISTRAR COURT NO. 2 AT 2.30 PM)

WITH
ORGNL.SUIT No. 2/2007 (XVII)

Date : 09-04-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, AOR
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG
Mr. Vikramjit Banderjee, ASG
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

Mr. J.S. Attri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajay Marwah, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Nand Jha, Adv.

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR
Mr. Vinay K. Shailendra, Adv.

Mr. P.Kumar Biswal, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Sharma, AOR.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR

Mr. Jagdeep Dhankar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, AOR
Ms. Priyanka Parida, Adv.
Ms. Harsha, Adv.

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, AOR
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, AOR

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR

Ms. Pooja Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, AOR

Mr. Prakash Gautam, Adv.

Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, Adv.

Ms. Fauzia Shakil, Adv.

Mr. Ujjwal Singh, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, Adv.

Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Matter stood posted for production of witness of defendant No. 3 i.e. State of Himachal Pradesh. Today, it is submitted by Shri J.D. Attri, learned Senior Counsel representing State of Himachal Pradesh that he is under instructions not to produce the witness for the purpose of cross-examination and that the affidavit of the witness lead by State of Himachal Pradesh be not lead in evidence.

Statement taken on record. In this background, the on-oath statement given by way of affidavit shall be taken off the record.

Whereas Defendant No. 4 State of Jammu and Kashmir has earlier filed on-oath statement of witness Verinder Badial. Learned counsel for the Defendant No. 4 is, therefore, directed to produce his witness for the purpose of cross-examination, in case he desires so. He seeks time to obtain instructions in this regard. Granted.

List on 7th May, 2018 at 2.30 P.M.

SANJAY PARIHAR
Registrar