

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. H. SHASHIDHARA SHETTY

Original Suit (s). No(s). 2/2011

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(O.S NO. 2 OF 2011 IS LISTED ONLY FOR FIXING THE SCHEDULE OF EVIDENCE BEFORE THE LD. REGISTRAR SH. H. SHASHIDHARA SHETTY AT 12.30 P.M. (ORIGINAL SUIT NO. 1 OF 2011 WILL BE LISTED WITH O.S. NO. 2 SEPARATELY, BUT IN SEQUENCE AS PER HON'BLE COURT'S ORDER DATED 9.03.2018 IN O.S NO. 1 OF 2011.))

Date : 08-12-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D. K. Devesh, AOR
Ms. Awantika Manohar, Adv.
Mr. Atul Jha, Adv.
Mr. Arpit Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR
Mr. Vinay K. Shailendra, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Dahiya, Adv.

Mr. Siddhant Kohli, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, AOR
Mrs. Arachana Preeti gupta, Adv.
Ms. Rita Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Nisarg Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Rajan Kumar Chourasia, Adv.
Mr. G.S. Makker, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel through Video Conference, the Court made the following

O R D E R

Mr. Manish Singhvi, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff (State of Rajasthan) submits his witness is ready to tender evidence in

Original Suit No. 02/2011 and not in Original Suit No. 01/2011. He Further submits that identical suit in Original Suit No. 01/2008 is ready for hearing, in which, evidence is already complete and ready for hearing. Therefore, evidence may be recorded first in Original Suit No. 02/2011 and then in Original Suit No. 01/2011. Ld. Counsel for the Defendant No. 1 submits that evidence is to be recorded in sequence.

Ld. Counsels for the Defendant Nos. 2, 3 and 4 submits they have no objection for recording evidence in either of Original Suit No. 01/2011 or in Original Suit No. 02/2011.

Having considered the submission on both sides, it is directed that evidence in Original Suit No. 02/2011 shall be let in first and then in Original Suit No. 01/2011.

Ld. Counsel for the Defendant No. 1 in Original Suit No. 02/2011 submits, State of Haryana (Defendant No. 4) may be directed to cross-examine PW. 1 first, as it is proforma party and sailing with plaintiff; otherwise, it will prejudice the right of Defendant No. 1. Accordingly, Defendant No. 4 is directed to cross-examine PW. 1 first, on the next date of hearing, if he is willing to cross examine PW. 1. Defendant No. 1 is also directed to be ready for cross examination on the next date of hearing. Witness Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Retired Ex-Officio Secretary to the Government, Indira Gandhi Nahar Department, Government of Rajasthan and the Chairman and Administrator of the Indira Gandhi Nahar Board be present to tender evidence on 04.01.2023.

List on 04.01.2023 at 2:30 P.M.

H. SHASHIDHARA SHETTY
Registrar