

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

ORIGINAL SUIT NO. 1 OF 2008

STATE OF HARYANA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for interim relief and office report)

WITH
ORGNL.SUIT NO. 2 of 2007

Date: 27/01/2014 These Suits were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

Counsel for the parties

Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.
Mr. Shivendra Dwivedi, Adv.
Ms. Tanima Kishore, Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Suri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mohan V. Katarki, adv.
Mr. Arun Kathpalia, Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.
Mr. Vinay K. Shailendra, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG
Mr. Bharat Sood, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Prakash Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG
Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv.

Mr. J.S. Attri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Varinder Kr. Sharma, Adv.

...2/-

: 2 :

Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Chandan Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.
for Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv. (NP)

Mr. R. Gopalakrishnan, Adv.

Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, Adv. (NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned counsel on either side submit that this matter be also placed before the Registrar for the purpose of cross-examination of witnesses as Original Suit No. 2 of 2007 is also pending before the Registrar.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned counsel for the State of Haryana also submitted that in the previous order dated October 18, 2013 passed in OS NO. 2 of 2007, it has been recorded that the Union of India has filed its evidence, but, in fact, it is not so. Submission recorded.

|(N.S.K. Kamesh)

| |(Saroj Saini)

|
|Court Master

| |Court Master

|