

ITEM NO.15

COURT NO.13

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).12318/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-11-2024
in CO No.3335/2023 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

SRI MONOJ ROY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PREMANGSHU MONDAL & ORS.

Alleged Contemnor(s)/Respondent(s)

(IA No. 89986/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 182888/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

CONMT.PET.(C) No.580/2025 in SLP(C) No.12318/2025 (XVI)

SRI MONOJ ROY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BIJAN BHATTACHARJEE & ORS.

Alleged Contemnor(s)/Respondent(s)

Date : 09-12-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Huzefa Ahamadi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Partha Sil, AOR
Mr. Utkarsh Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Srijit Datta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Devadatt Kamat, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shoeb Alam, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sunando Raha, Adv.
Mr. Tamal Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Supriyo Kumar Ray, Adv.
Mr. Harsh Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Priti Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Amitabha Roy, Adv.
Ms. Nirvika Dutta, Adv.
Ms. Saggaf Parveen, Adv.
Mr. Kunal Malik, AOR

Dr. Sushil Balwada, AOR

Mr. Tavish Bhushan Prasad, AOR

O R D E R

SLP(C) No.12318 of 2025

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner sought time to file rejoinder to the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondents no.1, 2 and 5.

2. Learned senior counsel for the respondent no.8 submits that he may be given one more opportunity to file counter affidavit.

3. The same be done before the next date of listing.

4. In the meantime, interim order to continue till the next date of listing.

CONMT.PET.(C) No.580 of 2025 in SLP(C) No.12318 of 2025

It is pointed out by learned senior counsel for the petitioner that despite notice being issued to the alleged contemnors/respondents no.11 and 12 on 16.09.2025 by this Court and their personal appearance being dispensed with, no affidavit has been filed on their behalf.

2. We take serious note of the same. He further submits that a constituted Attorney has filed a counter affidavit on behalf of the alleged contemnors/respondents no.11 and 12.

3. We find such conduct to be absolutely unacceptable.

4. Accordingly, the exemption from personal appearance granted to all the alleged contemnors/respondents is withdrawn, except respondent no.22 as he is yet to be duly served. The vakalatnama as well as the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the alleged contemnors/respondents no.11 and 12 stand rejected.

5. In the meantime, learned counsel for the petitioner shall take steps with regard to substituted service in respect of the unserved respondent no.22. Let the same be done within two weeks from today and affidavit in that regard shall also be filed.

6. List both the matters on 20.01.2026, at the top of the Board.

(SAPNA BISHT)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR