

ITEM NO.31  
SECTION XIV

REGISTRAR COURT. 1 THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. RAJESH KUMAR GOEL

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 21888/2020

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DHANI RAM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(only SLP(C) Diary No. 23683 of 2020 is to be listed before Ld.  
Registrar Court )

WITH

Diary No(s). 23683/2020 (XIV)

Date : 22-07-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Arti Singh, AOR  
Mr. Aakashdeep Singh Roda, Adv.  
Mr. Basant Pal Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Pooja Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Sujeeta Srivastava, AOR  
Mr. Puneet Singh Bindra, AOR  
Ms. Simran Jeet, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel through Video Conference, the  
Court made the following

O R D E R

Diary No(s). 23683/2020

On the last date of hearing, Ld. Advocate, Mr. A. Goel  
appearing on behalf of Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate-on-record  
appeared for respondent Nos.1, 9, 11 and 14 and sought time

for filing vakalatnama and counter affidavit. Now, Ld. counsel submits that he is appearing for respondent Nos.1, 9, 11 and 13 not for respondent No.14. The order is rectified accordingly.

Service is complete on respondent No.14 but none has entered appearance.

At this stage, Ld. counsel appearing for respondent Nos.2 and 5 submits that he is appearing for respondent No.3 also and seeking time to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit.

By referring the order dated 27.4.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Court in Miscellaneous Application No.665/2021 in SMW(C) No.3/2020 in RE COGNIZANCE FOR EXTENSION OF LIMITATION VERSUS XXXX and another order dated 17.12.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Court in CIVIL APPEAL No.4085/2020 in M/S. SS GROUP PVT LTD. VERSUS AADITIYA J. GARG AND ANR., Ld. counsel for respondent No.3 submitted that time may be given to file vakalatnama as well as counter affidavit. There is no dispute about the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide which the period of limitation has been extended but in the present case till date no vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.3 has been filed, therefore, the period of limitation would not apply for filing the counter affidavit. However, in the interest of justice, two weeks' time is granted for filing vakalatnama and four weeks' time is

granted for filing counter affidavit. In view of the above judgments, respondent Nos.2 and 5 may also file the counter affidavit within four weeks.

List again on 2.9.2021.

RAJESH KUMAR GOEL  
Registrar