

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 5473/2013

COMMISSIONER OF CENT.EXCISE,BELAPUR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M/S. SUDITI INDUSTRIES LTD.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH
C.A. No. 5474/2013
(With Office Report)

Date : 10/12/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr.Rajendra Soni,adv.
Ms.Anil Katiyar,adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Sandeep Narain,adv.
Ms.Arati Tiwari,adv.
M/s. S. Narain & Co.,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellant and the Ld.counsel for the respondents have failed to file the statement of case in both the matters, although they were notified to do so by notice dated 28.08.2014 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj
list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at
Date: 2014.12.12
16:22:32 IST
Reason:

the time of presentation of petition for seeking special

.....

leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB