

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3352/2018
(ARISING FROM SLP (C) NO. 7492/2018)

VINOD

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

COLLECTOR AND CHAIRMAN, DISTRICT SELECTION
COMMITTEE, CHANDRAPUR & ORS.

RESPONDENT (S)

J U D G M E N T

KURIAN, J.

Leave granted.

2. In the nature of order we propose to pass, it is not necessary to issue notice to the respondents since the interest of the respondents is not otherwise affected.

3. The appellant has been non-suited by the High Court on the ground that the second writ petition filed is not maintainable, having withdrawn earlier writ petition without any leave.

4. The order dated 28.07.2016 passed in the earlier Writ Petition No.2748/2016 reads as follows:-

"Shri V.A. Dhabe, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the Writ Petition.

Permission is granted. The Writ Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn."

5. It is fairly clear that the petition was withdrawn only on account of the pendency of the appeal. Apparently, that is why the High Court has, in fact, not dismissed the petition; it has only disposed it of.

6. In the second writ petition leading to the impugned judgment, there is a specific prayer, which reads as follows:-

“(iii) quash and set aside the communication dated 3.9.2016 at Annexure-10 issued by respondent No.5 being violative of principles of natural justice and bad in law;”

7. It appears that the prayer challenging the subsequent order passed in appeal was not brought to the notice of the High Court.

8. In that view of the matter, the writ petition certainly is maintainable. Accordingly, we set aside the impugned judgment with a request to the High Court to consider Writ Petition No.484/2017 on merits.

9. We make it clear that we have not considered the merits of the matter.

10. The appellant is also directed to serve a copy of this judgment along with a copy of this petition/appeal to the respondents.

11. The appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

12. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

13. There shall be no orders as to costs.

.....J.

[KURIAN JOSEPH]

.....J.

[MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR]

.....J.

[NAVIN SINHA]

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 28, 2018.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 7492/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 26-02-2018 in WP No. 484/2017 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Bombay At Nagpur)

VINOD

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

COLLECTOR AND CHAIRMAN, DISTRICT SELECTION
COMMITTEE, CHANDRAPUR & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

(IA No.40616/2018-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT and IA No.40615/2018-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 28-03-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Gagan Sanghi, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed judgment.

(NARENDRA PRASAD)
COURT MASTER

(RENU DIWAN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed "Non-Reportable" Judgment is placed on the file)