

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 411 OF 2010

SHAIK BABY FLORENCE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHAIKH SRIDHAR BABU Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report )

Date: 29/11/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Namita Roy, Adv.  
Mr. Ujjawal Banerjee, Adv.  
Mr. Asit Kumar Rari, Adv.  
Mr. Gautam, Adv.  
Mrs Sarla Chandra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Dr. Madan Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Aasha Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Shashi Sareen) (Shashi Bala Vij)  
Court Master Court Master  
(Signed order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 411 OF 2010

SHAIK BABY FLORENCE ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

SHAIKH SRIDHAR BABU ...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. This transfer petition has been filed by the petitioner-

wife seeking transfer of S.M.A No. 12 of 2009 titled Shaik Sridhar Babu Vs. Shaik Baby Florence from the court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Dwarka, New Delhi to the Family Court-cum-Additional District Judge , Guntur, Andhra Pradesh.

2. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and gone through the petition.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and looking to the convenience of the wife, we deem it proper to transfer SMA No. 12 of 2009 titled Shaik Sridhar Babu Vs. Shaik Baby Florence from the court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Dwarka, New Delhi to the learned District Judge, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh who may hear the petition either himself or assign the same for disposal to a court of competent jurisdiction. Ordered accordingly. The transferor court is directed to send the record of this case immediately to the transferee court.

-2-

5. The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

6. However, the respondent-husband, if he so chooses, may file an application for providing him personal security before the appropriate authority.

.....J.  
[ V.S. SIRPURKAR ]

.....J.  
[ T.S. THAKUR ]

NEW DELHI  
NOVEMBER 29, 2010.