

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.11

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010
CC 15877/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 10/09/2009 in
of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS)

WA No. 441/2009

J.KANNAN PRES.T.NADU FORE.PLAN.CORP.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M.D. T.NADU PALAN.CORP.LTD.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA 1 (c/delay in filing SLP, c/delay in refiling SLP)

Date: 16/11/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Veera Kathiravan, Adv.
 Mr. K.V. Vijayakumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P.N. Ramalingam, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

This petition is directed against order dated
10.9.2009 passed by the Division Bench of Madras High
Court, which allowed the writ appeal filed by the
respondents and set aside the order of the learned
Single Judge who entertained the writ petition filed by
the petitioner and quashed the appointments of the

2

private respondents as Foresters, Forest Guards, Forest
Watchers and Drivers respectively.

We have heard Mr. Veera Kathiravan, learned
counsel for the petitioner at some length and perused
the record.

In our view, the Division Bench of the High
Court rightly held that the petitioner Trade Union did
not have the locus to file writ petition questioning
the appointments of the private respondents.

The view

of the Division Bench is consistent with the law laid
down by this Court in Guruvayoor Devaswom Managing
Committee and another versus C.K. Rajan and others
(2003) 7 SCC 546 and State of Uttaranchal versus
Balwant Singh Chauhal and others (2010) 3 SCC 402.

The special leave petition is accordingly
dismissed.

Court Master

Court Master