

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.42745 OF 2022]

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6187/2017

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

APPELLANT

Vs.

MAHENDER SINGH AND ANR.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.

2. As per the applicant-Delhi Development Authority, respondent no.1, i.e., the writ petitioner before the High Court, claimed interest in the subject land on the basis of an unregistered General Power of Attorney executed on 24.09.2014.

3. Accordingly, the Miscellaneous Application for recalling of order is allowed, and the order dated 04.05.2017 in Civil Appeal No.6187/2017 is recalled.

Civil Appeal No. 6187/2017

4. It is clear from the facts noticed above that this case falls

within the category of matters remanded to the High Court in Group E of the three Judge Bench decision of this Court in Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Anr. vs. BSK Realtors LLP & Anr., reported as (2024) 7 SCC 370.

5. Accordingly, the civil appeal is partly allowed, the impugned order dated 25.08.2016 is set aside, WP (C) No.6994/2014, filed before the High Court of Delhi, is restored, and the matter is remitted to the High Court to determine whether there was a valid transfer of title in the acquired land before issuance of Section 4 notification and if so, who will be entitled to payment of compensation in accordance with law.

6. The parties are, accordingly, directed to appear before the High Court on 28.04.2025.

7. It is clarified that all contentions in this regard are kept open. The parties shall be at liberty to produce the relevant material before the High Court in support and against the above-mentioned claim, which shall be examined as per its own merits.

8. It goes without saying that if the respondents-owners are able to establish their valid title and/or they are found entitled to receive compensation, they shall be entitled to such compensation under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in accordance with the procedure contemplated in paragraph 128 of Government of NCT of Delhi through its Secretary, Land and Building Department & another vs. K.L. Rathi Steels Limited and others,

(2024) 7 SCC 315. Ordered accordingly.

9. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....J.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 05, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL/INHERENT APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.23142 OF 2023]

IN

REVIEW PETITION (C) D. NO.15269/2021

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8576/2016

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

APPELLANT

Vs.

MAAN SINGH AND ORS.

RESPONDENTS

WITH

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.6523 OF 2023]

IN

REVIEW PETITION (C) D. NO.27181/2021

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6132/2017

WITH

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.33298 OF 2023]

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.8697/2016

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.

2. Learned senior counsel for the parties are ad idem that the issue involved in the instant case squarely falls within the ambit of our decision in Government of NCT of Delhi through its Secretary, Land and Building Department & another vs. K.L. Rathi Steels Limited and others, (2024) 7 SCC 315.

3. Consequently, the respondents-land owners shall be entitled to compensation under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in accordance with the procedure prescribed in paragraph 128 of K.L. Rathi (supra), which reads as follows:

128. Under the circumstances, dismissal of the RPs and miscellaneous applications would have been logical and we could have ended our judgment here by ordering so. However, there is something more of a balancing act that needs to be done having regard to the disclosures that were made in course of progress of other proceedings before us, which followed immediately after judgment on this set of RPs and miscellaneous applications was reserved. Such other proceedings arose out of appeals carried from orders of the High Court declaring land acquisition proceedings as lapsed based on the decision in Pune Municipal Corporation (supra) as distinguished from RPs and miscellaneous applications of the nature under consideration. Since all such proceedings have more or less a common genesis and have followed similar trajectory, it would be eminently desirable to find a solution that benefits all. We may hasten to add here that the exercise of inherent powers conferred on this Court by Article 142, in such circumstances, is not just inevitable but also pivotal for disposal of the matters at hand, given their impact on public interest at large as well as to secure uniformity and consistency in our decisions; hence, we consider it expedient to pass such orders or directions for ensuring complete justice in the matters under consideration before us. Notwithstanding our discussion on the reference which was necessitated to answer the question of

law on which there was a disagreement between the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench, taking an overall and holistic view of the matter and in the light of the larger public interest that is involved, in each of the RPs and miscellaneous applications that have been dealt with by this judgment (except those remanded to the High Court and those de-tagged for separate listing infra), we issue the following directions:

a) The time limit for initiation of fresh acquisition proceedings in terms of the provisions contained in section 24(2) of the 2013 Act is extended by a year starting from 01st August, 2024 whereupon compensation to the affected landowners may be paid in accordance with law, failing which consequences, also as per law, shall follow;

b) The parties shall maintain status quo regarding possession, change of land use and creation of third-party rights till fresh acquisition proceedings, as directed above, are completed;

c) Since the landowners are not primarily dependent upon the subject lands as their source of sustenance and most of these lands were/are under use for other than agricultural purposes, we deem it appropriate to invoke our powers under Article 142 of the Constitution and dispense with the compliance of Chapters II and III of the 2013 Act whereunder it is essential to prepare a Social Impact Assessment Study Report and/or to develop alternative multi-crop irrigated agricultural land. We do so to ensure that the timeline of one year extended at (a) above to complete the acquisition process can be adhered to by the appellants and the GNCTD, which would also likely be beneficial to the expropriated landowners;

d) Similarly, compliance with sections 13, 14, 16 to 20 of the 2013 Act can be dispensed with as the subject-lands are predominantly urban/semi-urban in nature and had earlier been acquired for public purposes of paramount importance. In order to simplify the compliance of direction at (a) above, it is further directed that every Notification issued under section 4(1) of the 1894 Act in this batch of cases, shall be treated as a Preliminary Notification within the meaning of section 11 of the 2013 Act, and shall be deemed to have been published as on 01st January, 2014;

e) The Collector shall provide hearing of

objections as per section 15 of the 2013 Act without insisting for any Social Impact Assessment Report and shall, thereafter, proceed to take necessary steps as per the procedure contemplated under section 21 onwards of Chapter-IV of 2013 Act, save and except where compliance of any provision has been expressly or impliedly dispensed with;

f) The landowners may submit their objections within a period of four weeks from the date of pronouncement of this order. Such objections shall not question the legality of the acquisition process and shall be limited only to clauses (a) and (b) of section 15(1) of the 2013 Act;

g) The Collector shall publish a public notice on his website and in one English and one vernacular newspapers, within two weeks of expiry of the period of four weeks granted under direction (f) above;

h) The Collector shall, thereafter, pass an award as early as possible but not exceeding six months, regardless of the maximum period of twelve months contemplated under section 25 of the 2013 Act. The market value of the land shall be assessed as on 01st January, 2014 and the compensation shall be awarded along with all other monetary benefits in accordance with the provisions of the 2013 Act except the claim like rehabilitation etc.;

i) The Collector shall consider all the parameters prescribed under section 28 of the 2013 Act for determining the compensation for the acquired land. Similarly, the Collector shall determine the market value of the building or assets attached with the land in accordance with section 29 and shall further award solatium in accordance with section 30 of the 2013 Act;

j) In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, since it is difficult to reverse the clock back, the compliance of Chapter (V) pertaining to "Rehabilitation and Resettlement Award" is hereby dispensed with; and

k) The expropriated landowners shall be entitled to seek reference for enhancement of compensation in accordance with Chapter-VIII of the 2013 Act.

4. It is clarified that the extension of one year, as granted to the Authorities for completion of acquisition in sub-para (a)

reproduced above, will commence from the date of this order.

5. The Miscellaneous Applications are, accordingly, disposed of.

6. As a result, pending interlocutory applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

.....J.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 05, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL/INHERENT APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. OF 2025
[DIARY No.6524 OF 2023]

IN

REVIEW PETITION (C) D. NO.4221/2022

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6138/2017

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

APPELLANT

Vs.

JAWAHAR LAL CHHABRA AND ORS.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. There appears to be a serious dispute over title of the acquired land. According to the applicant-Delhi Development Authority, respondent no.1 and other persons derived their interest in the acquired land through an Assignment Deed dated 09.09.1994. Further, learned counsel for the original owner(s) submits that no assignment deed was executed in favour of respondent no.1-Jawhar Lal Chhabra and others.
3. Accordingly, the Miscellaneous Application for recalling of order, as also Review Petition (C) Diary No.4221/2021 are allowed, and the order dated 04.05.2017 in Civil Appeal No.6138/2017 is

recalled.

Civil Appeal No. 6138/2017

4. It is clear from the facts noticed above that this case falls within the category of matters remanded to the High Court in Group E of the three Judge Bench decision of this Court in Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Anr. vs. BSK Realtors LLP & Anr., reported as (2024) 7 SCC 370.

5. Accordingly, the civil appeal is partly allowed, the impugned order dated 08.12.2014 is set aside, WP (C) No.1702/2014, filed before the High Court of Delhi, is restored, and the matter is remitted to the High Court to determine whether there was a valid transfer of title in the acquired land before issuance of Section 4 notification and if so, who will be entitled to payment of compensation in accordance with law.

6. The parties are, accordingly, directed to appear before the High Court on 28.04.2025.

7. It is clarified that all contentions in this regard are kept open. The parties shall be at liberty to produce the relevant material before the High Court in support and against the above-mentioned claim, which shall be examined as per its own merits.

8. It goes without saying that if the respondents-owners are able to establish their valid title and/or they are found entitled to receive compensation, they shall be entitled to such compensation under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in accordance with the procedure contemplated in paragraph 128 of Government of NCT of Delhi through its Secretary, Land and Building

Department & another vs. K.L. Rathi Steels Limited and others,
(2024) 7 SCC 315. Ordered accordingly.

9. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....J.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 05, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL/INHERENT APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.11767 OF 2023]

IN

REVIEW PETITION (C) D. NO.27340/2019

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6245/2017

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

APPELLANT

Vs.

R.S. RETAIL STORES PVT. LTD. AND ORS.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we find that various issues, like the legality of the SPA executed as late as on 15.07.2008, after the acquisition which attained finality in the year 1987-1988, the legality of the sale deed registered in Mumbai, and the resultant concealment of facts, if any, arise for

consideration in this case.

3. It is also pointed out that Civil Appeal No.6613/2024 titled 'Government of NCT of Delhi vs. RS Retail Stores Private Limited and others' stands partly allowed by us vide judgment dated 17.05.2024 reported as 'Government of NCT of Delhi and another vs. BSK Realtors LLP and another', (2024) 7 SCC 370, wherein, as Item 7 in Group E of the judgement, the impugned judgement of the High Court was set aside and the matter was remitted to the High Court for fresh adjudication. It, thus, appears that the above-mentioned issues have already been identified by us and the matter has accordingly been remanded.

4. In view of the fact that the impugned judgement of the High Court has already been set aside, and the matter is before the High Court, the instant Miscellaneous Application is dismissed as having become infructuous.

5. It is clarified that in the matter pending before the High Court, all contentions are kept open. The parties shall be at liberty to produce the relevant material before the High Court in respect of the abovementioned issues, which shall be examined as per their own merits.

6. It goes without saying that if the respondents-owners are able to establish their valid title and/or they are found entitled to receive compensation, they shall be paid such compensation under the 2013 Act in accordance with the procedure contemplated in paragraph 128 of Government of NCT of Delhi through its Secretary, Land and Building Department & another vs. K.L. Rathi Steels

Limited and others, (2024) 7 SCC 315. Ordered accordingly.

7. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....J.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 05, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL/INHERENT APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.12978 OF 2023]

IN

REVIEW PETITION (C) D. NO.26039/2019

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6291/2017

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

APPELLANT

Vs.

JAVED KHAN AND ORS.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Learned counsel for the parties do not dispute the fact that the respondents are the subsequent purchasers through a sale deed dated 23.01.1992, whereas the acquisition had been initiated in 1980.
3. Accordingly, the Miscellaneous Application for recalling of order, as also Review Petition (C) Diary No.26039/2019, are allowed, and the order dated 04.05.2017 in Civil Appeal No.6291/2017 is recalled.

Civil Appeal No. 6291/2017

4. It is clear from the facts noticed above that this case falls within the category of matters remanded to the High Court in Group E of the three Judge Bench decision of this Court in Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Anr. vs. BSK Realtors LLP & Anr., reported as (2024) 7 SCC 370.

5. Accordingly, the civil appeal is partly allowed, the impugned order dated 06.07.2015 is set aside, WP (C) No.8100/2014, filed before the High Court of Delhi, is restored, and the matter is remitted to the High Court to determine whether there was a valid transfer of title in the acquired land before issuance of Section 4 notification and if so, who will be entitled to payment of compensation in accordance with law.

6. The parties are, accordingly, directed to appear before the High Court on 28.04.2025.

7. It is clarified that all contentions in this regard are kept open. The parties shall be at liberty to produce the relevant material before the High Court in support and against the above-mentioned claim, which shall be examined as per its own merits.

8. It goes without saying that if the respondents-owners are able to establish their valid title and/or they are found entitled to receive compensation, they shall be entitled to such compensation under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in accordance with the procedure contemplated in paragraph 128 of Government of NCT of Delhi through its Secretary, Land and Building

Department & another vs. K.L. Rathi Steels Limited and others,
(2024) 7 SCC 315. Ordered accordingly.

9. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....J.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 05, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.14584 OF 2023]

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6146/2017

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

APPELLANT

Vs.

ARUN MEHRA AND ORS.

RESPONDENTS

WITH

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.14601 OF 2023]

IN

REVIEW PETITION (C) D. NO.5362/2020

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6146/2017

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

APPELLANT

Vs.

ARUN MEHRA AND ORS.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant-Delhi Development Authority asserts that respondent no.1-Arun Mehra obtained interest in the property through a General Power-of-Attorney dated 22.01.1991, whereas the acquisition had been initiated in 1980.
3. Accordingly, the Miscellaneous Applications for recalling of order, as also Review Petition (C) Diary No.5362/2020, are allowed,

and the order dated 04.05.2017 in Civil Appeal No.6146/2017 is recalled.

Civil Appeal No. 6146/2017

4. It is clear from the facts noticed above that this case falls within the category of matters remanded to the High Court in Group E of the three Judge Bench decision of this Court in Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Anr. vs. BSK Realtors LLP & Anr., reported as (2024) 7 SCC 370.

5. Accordingly, the civil appeal is partly allowed, the impugned order dated 16.09.2014 is set aside, WP (C) No.3836/2014, filed before the High Court of Delhi, is restored, and the matter is remitted to the High Court to determine whether there was a valid transfer of title in the acquired land before issuance of Section 4 notification and if so, who will be entitled to payment of compensation in accordance with law.

6. The parties are, accordingly, directed to appear before the High Court on 28.04.2025.

7. It is clarified that all contentions in this regard are kept open. The parties shall be at liberty to produce the relevant material before the High Court in support and against the above-mentioned claim, which shall be examined as per its own merits.

8. It goes without saying that if the respondents-owners are able to establish their valid title and/or they are found entitled to receive compensation, they shall be entitled to such compensation under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in accordance with the procedure contemplated in paragraph 128 of

Government of NCT of Delhi through its Secretary, Land and Building Department & another vs. K.L. Rathi Steels Limited and others,
(2024) 7 SCC 315. Ordered accordingly.

9. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....J.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 05, 2025

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. _____ OF 2025
[DIARY No.22524 OF 2023]

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO.6228/2017

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

APPELLANT

Vs.

M/S. GOODVIEW APARTMENTS PVT. LTD. AND ORS.

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we find that respondent no.1 derives its title over the property through a sale deed dated 18.06.1996, whereas the acquisition took place way back on 05.06.1987.
3. Accordingly, the Miscellaneous Application for recalling of order is allowed, and the order dated 04.05.2017 in Civil Appeal No.6228/2017 is recalled.

Civil Appeal No. 6228/2017

4. It is clear from the facts noticed above that this case falls within the category of matters remanded to the High Court in Group E of the three Judge Bench decision of this Court in Govt. of NCT of Delhi & Anr. vs. BSK Realtors LLP & Anr., reported as (2024) 7 SCC 370.

5. Accordingly, the civil appeal is partly allowed, the impugned order dated 25.05.2015 is set aside, WP (C) No.7728/2014, filed before the High Court of Delhi, is restored, and the matter is remitted to the High Court to determine whether there was a valid transfer of title in the acquired land before issuance of Section 4 notification and if so, who will be entitled to payment of compensation in accordance with law.

6. The parties are, accordingly, directed to appear before the High Court on 28.04.2025.

7. It is clarified that all contentions in this regard are kept open. The parties shall be at liberty to produce the relevant material before the High Court in support and against the above-mentioned claim, which shall be examined as per its own merits.

8. It goes without saying that if the respondents-owners are able to establish their valid title and/or they are found entitled to receive compensation, they shall be entitled to such compensation under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in accordance with the procedure contemplated in paragraph 128 of Government of NCT of Delhi through its Secretary, Land and Building

Department & another vs. K.L. Rathi Steels Limited and others,
(2024) 7 SCC 315. Ordered accordingly.

9. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....J.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(DIPANKAR DATTA)

.....J.
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 0, 2025

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION..... Diary No(s). 42745/2022

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04-05-2017
in C.A. No.6187/2017 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAHENDER SINGH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 59442/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING, IA
No.205357/2022 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER)

WITH

Diary No(s).23142/2023 (XIV-A)

IA No. 112727/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 112726/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 6523/2023 (XIV-A)

IA No. 33043/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 33041/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 6524/2023 (XIV-A)

IA No. 33042/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 33039/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 11767/2023 (XIV-A)

IA No. 57785/2023- Application for Condonation of delay in filing

M.A., IA No. 57784/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

IA No. 57785/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 12978/2023 (XIV-A)

IA No. 64709/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 64708/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 13420/2023 (XIV-A)

IA No. 131237/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 66347/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 14584/2023 (XIV-A)

IA No. 71274/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 71275/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 14601/2023 (XIV-A)

IA No. 71310/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 71308/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 21344/2023 (XIV-A)
IA No. 105106/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 105108/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 105101/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 22524/2023 (XIV-A)
IA No. 110340/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 110338/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 27415/2023 (XIV-A)
IA No. 166957/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 166958/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING PAPER BOOKS
IA No. 130925/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 33298/2023 (XIV-A)
IA No. 153912/2024 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION
IA No. 175628/2024 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 162108/2023 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Diary No(s). 7295/2024 (XIV-A)
IA No. 40166/2024 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 40160/2024 - RECALLING THE COURTS ORDER

Date : 05-03-2025 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Manika Tripathy, AOR
Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Malvika Singh, Adv.

Ms. Binu Tamta, AOR

Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.
Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.

Mr. Nishit Agrawal, AOR

Ms. Shalini Chandra, AOR
Ms. Nandita Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Sunieta Ojha, AOR
M/S. Saharya & Co., AOR

Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Malvika Kapila, AOR
Ms. Tanwangi Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Harbani Shinh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nitin Mishra, AOR
Mr. Ishaan Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shubham Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Kashyap, Adv.

Ms. Sujeeta Srivastava, AOR

Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Niharika Ahluwalia, AOR
Mr. Arpit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Himagn Jain, Adv.
Ms. Sonakshi Chaturvedi, Adv.
Mr. Mihir Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR
Ms. Shrika Gautam, Adv.

Dr. Sumant Bharadwaj, Adv.
Mr. Vedant Bharadwaj, Adv.
Ms. Mridula Ray Bharadwaj, AOR
Mr. D.M. Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Surbhi Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Jayant Mehta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Bharat Beniwal, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Dwivedi, AOR
Mr. Vishal, Adv.
Mrs. Subhadra Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Rahul, Adv.

Ms. Smita Maan, AOR
Mr. T. L. Garg, AOR

Mr. Rajender Pd. Saxena, AOR
Mr. Rajendra Prasad Saxena, Adv.
Mr. N.p. Sahni, Adv.
Mr. Vineet Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Satya Darshi Sanjay, A.S.G.
Mr. B.k. Satija, Adv.
Mr. Padmesh Mishra, Adv.
Samta Pushkarna Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Manish, Adv.
Mr. Vishnu Kant, Adv.
Dr. N. Visakamurthy, AOR

Ms. Sujeeta Srivastava, AOR

Mr. Sumit Bansal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Udaibir Singh Kochar, Adv.
Mr. Utsav Garg, Adv.
Mr. Ananta Prasad Mishra, AOR

Mr. Chandra Prakash, AOR
Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.
Mr. C.p. Rajwar, Adv.
Mr. Binay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Chaterjee, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Amitabh Kumar Verma, Adv.
Ms. Neha Amola, Adv.
Mr. Babu Malayil, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Singh Chauhan, AOR

Mr. Prithvi Pal, AOR
Mr. S K Rout, Adv.
Mr. Onkar Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Ganesh Singh, Adv.
Mr. Amit Acharya, Adv.
Mr. Aman Mehrotra, Adv.
Mr. Priyankoo Anjan Gogoi, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rahul, Adv.
Mrs. Sutada Ghose, Adv.
Ms. Neeru Vaid, AOR

Mr. N.S. Vasisht, Adv.
Ms. Jyoti Kataria, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

M.A. Diary No(s).42745/2022 in C.A. No.6187/2017, M.A. Diary No(s).22524/2023 in C.A. No.6228/2017

1. Delay condoned.
2. The Miscellaneous Applications are allowed and the appeals are partly allowed in terms of the signed order.
3. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

M.A. Diary No(s).23142/2023 in R.P(C) D.15269/2021 in C.A. No.8576/2016

M.A. Diary No(s).6523/2023 in R.P(C) D.27181/2021 in C.A. No.6132/2017

M.A. Diary No(s).33298/2023 in C.A. No.8697/2016

4. Delay condoned.

5. The Miscellaneous Applications are disposed of in terms of the signed order.

6. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

M.A. Diary No(s).11767/2023 in R.P(C) D.27340/2019 in C.A. No.6245/2017

7. Delay condoned.

8. The Miscellaneous Application is dismissed as infructuous in terms of the signed order.

9. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

M.A. Diary No(s).14584/2023 in C.A. No.6146/2017

M.A. Diary No(s).14601/2023 in R.P(C) D.5362/2020 in C.A. No.6146/2017

M.A. Diary No(s).6524/2023 in R.P(C) D.4221/2022 in C.A. No.6138/2017

M.A. Diary No(s).12978/2023 in R.P(C) D.26039/2019 in C.A. No.6291/2017

10. Delay condoned.

11. The Miscellaneous Applications as well as Review Petitions are allowed and civil appeals are partly allowed in terms of the signed order.

12. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

Diary No(s).13420/2023 in C.A. No.6227/2017

13. As per the office report service is incomplete. The unserved respondents may be served through dasti notice and if not found residing in the given address, then they may be served through

substituted service, returnable on 16.04.2025.

Diary No(s).21344/2023

14. List on 16.04.2025.

Diary No.27415/2023

15. List along with SLP(C) No.16016/2021, Diary No.26635/2018, Diary No.27410/2023, Diary No.32129/2024, Diary No.36411/2024, Diary No.36883/2024, Diary No.36912/2024, Diary No.36921/2024, Diary No.36942/2024, Diary No.37028/2024, Diary No.37032/2024. Diary No.37033/2024, Diary No.37036/2024, Diary No.37063/2024, Diary No.37069/2024, Diary No.37071/2024, Diary No.37075/2024, Diary No.37093/2024 & Diary No.37373/2024. (List of cases is enclosed with the ROP)

16 Diary No.36411/2024 may be treated as lead case.

Diary No(s).7295/2024

17. List on 16.04.2025.

(ARJUN BISHT)

ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(signed orders in M.A. Diary No(s).42745/2022 in C.A. No.6187/2017, M.A. Diary No(s).22524/2023 in C.A. No.6228/2017, M.A. Diary No(s).23142/2023 in R.P(C) D.15269/2021 in C.A. No.8576/2016, M.A. Diary No(s).6523/2023 in R.P(C) D.27181/2021 in C.A. No.6132/2017, M.A. Diary No(s).33298/2023 in C.A. No.8697/2016, M.A. Diary No(s).11767/2023 in R.P(C) D.27340/2019 in C.A. No.6245/2017, M.A. Diary No(s).14584/2023 in C.A. No.6146/2017, M.A. Diary No(s).14601/2023 in R.P(C) D.5362/2020 in C.A. No.6146/2017, M.A. Diary No(s).6524/2023 in R.P(C) D.4221/2022 in C.A. No.6138/2017, M.A. Diary No(s).12978/2023 in R.P(C) D.26039/2019 in C.A. No.6291/2017 are placed on the file)

(PREETHI T.C.)

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR