

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

W R I T P E T I T I O N (C I V I L) N O . 3 3 O F 2 0 1 1

SUDHA DEVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.
(WITH OFFICE REPORT)

Respondent(s)

WITH

W.P.(C) NO. 34/2011
(WITH OFFICE REPORT)

Date: 30/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, Adv.(N/P)

For Respondent(s) Mr. S.K. Bagaria, ASG
Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.
Mr. C.K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Alka Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The writ petitions are dismissed for non-prosecution in
terms of the signed order.

| (VINOD LAKHINA)
| COURT MASTER

| | (INDU BALA KAPUR)
| | COURT MASTER

(SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
W R I T P E T I T I O N (C I V I L) N O . 3 3 O F 2 0 1 1

SUDHA DEVI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

WITH

W R I T P E T I T I O N (C I V I L) N O . 3 4 O F 2 0 1 1

JOG RAJ

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

ORDER

On 15th July, 2013, this Court had passed an order adjourning these matters in the interests of justice in spite of the fact that none had been appearing on behalf of the petitioners for quite some time. It was also observed that if no one appears on behalf of the petitioners on the next date of hearing, the writ petitions shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Court.

Today, again, no one appears on behalf of the petitioners. Consequently, the writ petitions are dismissed for non-prosecution.

.....,J.
(SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR)

.....,J.
(FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 30, 2013