

ITEM NO.20                      Court 2 (Video Conferencing)                      SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).8221/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-12-2019 in AP No.69/2019 passed by the High Court at Calcutta)

THE BRAITHWAITE BURN AND JESSOP CONSTRUCTION  
COMPANY LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GENERAL MANAGER SOUTH EASTERN RAILWAY

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) No.11623/2020 (IX)

(FOR

FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT ON IA  
52840/2020)

SLP(C) No.11622/2020 (IX)

SLP(C) No.9417/2020 (XIV)

(FOR ADMISSION)

SLP(C) No.9404/2020 (XIV)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 05-03-2021 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anil Seth, Adv.  
Mr. Udit Seth, Adv.  
Mr. C.Kannan, Adv.  
Mr. Ravi Shankar, AOR

Mr. Sanjib K. Mal, Adv.  
Mr. Atanu Roy Chowdhury, Adv.  
Mr.Sayaree Basu Mallik, AOR

Mr. Kiran Bhagalia, Adv.  
Ms. Tanvi Kakar, Adv.  
Mr. Ansh Pandey, Adv.  
Mrs. Suchitra Atul Chitale, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Jayant Sud, ASG  
Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.  
Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Adv.  
Mr. Modh. Akhil, Adv.  
Ms. Sanya Sud, Adv.

Mr. Kamal Kishore, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, AOR

Mr. Sandeep Devashish Das, AOR  
Ms. Sukanya Lal, Adv.

Mr. Sarojanand Jha, Adv.  
Mr. M. R. Shamshad, AOR

Dr. Amit George, Adv.  
Mr. Jawad Tariq, Adv.  
Mr. Piyo Jaimon, Adv.  
Mr. Rayadurgam Bharat, Adv.  
Mr. Amol Acharya, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Swarup, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.

In view of the subsequent order passed by a three-Judge Bench of this Court on 11.01.2021 in SLP(C)No.12670/2020 - Union of India vs. M/s Tantia Constructions Limited, we direct the Registry to list SLP(C)No.8221/2020 along with SLP(C)No.12670/2020.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the sole respondent in SLP(C)No.11623/20 & SLP(C)No.11622/2020 submits that the question of law involved in these petitions is different and, accordingly, prayed that the same may be de-tagged from SLP(C)No.8221/2020.

Accepting the same argument as was advanced in SLP(C)No.11623/20 & SLP(C)No.11622/2020, learned counsel appearing in SLP(C)No.9417/20 & SLP(C)No.9404/2020 submit that these matters may also be de-tagged from SLP(C)No.8221/2020.

In view of the above, de-tag these matters from SLP(C)No.8221/2020 and list after four weeks for further hearing.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(RAJ RANI NEGI)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR