\200
ORIGINAL SUIT 2 OF 1988
ITEM No.20 Court No. 1 SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

ORIGINAL SUIT No. 2/1988

STATE OF ASSAM Plaintiff (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Defendant (s)

(With Appln(s). for directions)(with I.A. No. 6 for amendment of plaint)
With
ORGNL.SUIT.No.1/1989 (State of Assam vs. Union of India & Ors.)
(With Appln(s). for directions and Office Report)

Date : 05/08/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Plaintiff (s) Mr. K K Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Krishna Sarma, Ms. Meghasee Barthakur,
Ms. Asha G Nair and Mr. V K Sidharthan,
Advs. for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Advs.

For Defendant (s) Mr. Harish N Salve, SG
Mr. S Wasim A Qadri, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.

Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay K Shandilya, Adv.
Mr. V.D. Khanna,Adv.

Mr. S. Muralidhar,Adv.

Mr. Anil K Srivastav, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

........L.......I........T........T.......T.......T.......T.......J

Counsel for the States of Assam and Nagaland should ascertain whether it is acceptable to them that the Boundary Commission, if set up, the decision thereof would be binding on the two States. The terms of reference would be such as are agreed upon by the parties or settled by this Court.

Adjourned. List after eight weeks.

In the meantime, the terms of reference may be exchanged between the parties with copies to the Attorney General and the Solicitor General. This will be without prejudice to the rights of the parties.

(D.P. WALIA) (S.L. GOYAL)@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER @@

A AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA