

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. No. 9-12 in ORIGINAL SUIT 2/1988

STATE OF ASSAM

Plaintiff (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Defendant (s)

(for ad interim injunction and withdrawal of Alternative 2
alongwith affidavit and exemption from filing O.T. and office report)
(Alongwith the record of Original Suit No. 1/1989)

WITH

I.A. NO. 4 in Original Suit No. 1/1989

(For withdrawal of alternative 2 alongwith affidavit and office report)

Date : 28/02/2005 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

For Plaintiff/ (s)Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Sr.Adv.
ApplicantMs. Krishna Sarma, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Sidharthan, Adv.

Mr.J.R. Luwang, Adv.

for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.

For Defendant (s)Mr. Milon K.Banerjee, Advocate General for India
UOIMr. B. Datta, ASG

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, adv.

Mr. SWA Qadri, Adv.

Mr. R.M. Sharma, adv.

Mr. Manish Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran,Adv.

For NagalandMr. Dipankar P. Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Anurag Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh,Adv.

Mr. S. Muralidhar,Adv.

Mr. Somiran Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.

For Arunachal PradeshMr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

Mr. Saurabh Shrivastava, Adv.

Ms. Simita, Adv.

...2/-

- 2 -

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

During the course of hearing it was pointed out that consistently with the First Schedule to the Constitution of India, the Surveyor General of India must have drawn up a map defining the boundaries and the same must have been accepted by the Government of India and the States. Let that map be produced before the Court.

List on 7th March, 2005.

(Ajay Kr. Jain)

(Radha R. Bhatia)

Court Master

Court Master