

ITEM No.1

Court No. 1

SECTION IIIA

## S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. No. 9-12 in ORIGINAL SUIT No. 2/1988

STATE OF ASSAM

Plaintiff (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA &amp; ORS.

Defendant (s)

(for ad-interim injunction and withdrawal of alternative 2 a/w affidavit  
and exemption from filing OT and office report)

(along with the record of Original Suit No. 1/1989)

with

I.A. No. 4 in Original Suit No. 1/1989 (State of Assam vs. Union of India  
& Ors.)(for withdrawal of alternative (2) with affidavit and office  
report)

Date : 07/03/2005 These suits were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

For Plaintiff (s)

State of Assam

Mr. K K Venugopal, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.  
Mr. V K Sidharthan, Adv.  
Mr. J R Luwang, Adv.  
Ms. Amrita Bhattacharya, Adv.  
Ms. Namrata Chadda, Adv.  
for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.

For Defendant (s)

UoI

Mr. Milon K Banerjee, Attorney General  
Mr. B Dutta, ASG  
Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. R M Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. P Parmeswaran, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. S Wasim A Qadri, Adv.

State of Nagaland

Mr. Dipankar P Gupta, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kailash Vasdev, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.  
Mr. Ritu Raj Bishwash, Adv.

2

Election Commission  
of India

Mr. S. Muralidhar, Adv.  
Mr. Somiran Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.

State of Arunachal  
Pradesh

Mr. Anil Srivastav, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Shrivastava, Adv.  
Ms. Simita, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The learned Attorney General states that an official

from the Office of the Surveyor General of India is present

in the Court accompanied by a map printed under the authority

of the Surveyor General of India showing the State boundaries

between Assam and Arunachal Pradesh as also between Assam and

Nagaland. Print copies of the map have been made available

to the Court as also to the learned counsel for other

parties.

The learned senior counsel for the State of Nagaland

seeks time for having instructions in regard to what the

State has to contend about this map.

In addition, the learned Attorney General states

that there are more maps available, but they are meant for

restricted use by Defence only. We have asked the learned

Attorney General to have instructions whether these maps

which are more detailed and pinpoint the boundaries can be  
made available to the Court and to the Commission which this  
Court proposes to appoint.

List after two weeks.

3

(D.P. WALIA)

(SHELLY SEN GUPTA)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER