

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.2

SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Original Suit (s). No(s). 2/1988

STATE OF ASSAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(office report for direction)

WITH ORGNL.SUIT No. 1/1989
(Office Report for Direction)

C.A. No. 4020/1999
(Office Report for Direction)

C.A. No. 4021/1999
(Office Report for Direction)

Date: 03/12/2014 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
(O.S.2/1988 & Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.
O.S.1/1989) Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.
Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Vartika Walia, Adv.
For M/s Corporate Law Group, Adv.

(C.A.No.4020/1999) Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.
Mr. Rituraja Biswas, Adv.

(C.A.No.4021/1999) Mr. Nitish Meshey, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.
For khaitan & Co.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG (U.O.I.) (N.P.)
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Pandey, Adv.

Mr. K.M. Balgopal, Adv.Gen. (Nagaland)
Mr. Kailash Vasdey, Sr. Adv.
Mr. A.P. Mukundan, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Prakash T.A., Adv.
Mr. Timikha Koza, Adv.
Mr. Nitya Nambiar, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Shandilya, Adv.
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv. (Arunachal Pradesh)
Mr. Rituraja Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.
Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.
Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Vartika Walia, Adv.
For M/s Corporate Law Group, Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Ram, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr. A. S. Bhasme, Adv.

Ms. Ranjitha Ramchandran, Adv.
Mr. Nitish Massey, Adv.
Ms. Aditya Ganju, Adv.
For Khaitan & Co.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned Solicitor General, is not available today. Ms. Binu Tamta, learned counsel appearing on his behalf, submits that a meeting between the two Chief Ministers of the States of Assam and Nagaland has taken place in the presence of the Home Minister, Government of India, and although the question of demarcation of the boundaries between the States of Arunachal Pradesh and Assam and Assam and Nagaland, on the basis of the maps available with the Surveyor General of India, have been examined by the Government of India in terms of our previous order dated

24th September, 2014, the developments that have been taken place pursuant to the said order have not been stated on affidavit for unavoidable reasons. She seeks ten days' time to place on record the steps taken by the Government of India pursuant to our dated 24th September, 2014 and the progress made in that direction.

Post again on 17th December, 2014. Needful be done in the meantime.

Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, learned senior counsel appearing for State of Assam, today submits that the statement made by him on the last date of hearing that the defendant-State of Nagaland did not file any written statement to the amended plaint, was factually incorrect based on incorrect instructions. He has tendered an unconditional apology which we are inclined to accept. Amended written statement on behalf of the State of Nagaland is already on record to which our attention was not drawn by either Mr. Vengugopal or Mr. K.M.Balgopal, learned Advocate General appearing for State of Nagaland, on the last date of hearing.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER