

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.2

SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Original Suite (s). No(s). 1/1989

STATE OF ASSAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(office report for direction)

WITH C.A. No. 4020/1999

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 4021/1999

(With Office Report)

Date: 04/02/2015 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
(O.S.No.1/1989) Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.
 Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.
 Ms. Vartika Walla, Adv.
 Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.
 For M/s Corporate Law Group, Adv.

(C.A.No.4020/1999) Mr. Ranjit Thomas, Adv.
 Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.
 Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

(C.A.No.4021/1999) Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ranjit Thomas, Adv. Gen.
 Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
 Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr. A. S. Bhasme, Adv.

Mr. Shikhar Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev K. Kapoor, Adv.
For Khaitan & Co.

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that although a report has been received from the Local Commission appointed by this Court, the same does not finally and effectually resolve the boundary dispute between States of Assam and Arunachal Pradesh. They submit that this suit may therefore have to proceed to a regular trial upon framing of issues. They further submit that although some documents have already been filed by the parties in support of their respective cases, they would require six weeks' time finally to file any further documents. Time prayed for is granted.

From a reading of the the pleadings we are of the view that only two main issues fall for determination before this Court, namely, (1) Whether the boundary, as demarcated and delineated in the Survey of India map as published in 1984 and as demarcated and delineated in greater detail in 29 topographical maps prepared by Survey of India set out in Schedule I to the plaint, represents the actual boundary between the States of Assam and Arunachal Pradesh; and (2) In case Issue no.1 is found in the negative, what is the true constitutional boundary between the two states. Even so learned counsel for the parties may, upon a closer examination of the pleadings, propose other issues, if any.

Learned counsel for the parties are also free to file their respective responses to the report received from Justice Tarun Chatterjee Commission, before the next date of hearing. Learned counsel for the parties to record their admission and/or denial of the documents before the next date of hearing.

Post on Wednesday, the 8th April, 2015 for framing of issues.

(MAHABIR SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER