

ITEM NO.51

COURT NO.2

SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Original Suite No(s). 2/1988

STATE OF ASSAM

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Office Report for Directions and application under Order 11 Rule 14 R/W Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure Code, 1908 for production of Maps, Toposheets in respect of the constitutional boundary between the State of Assam and Nagaland)

Date : 02/07/2015 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.  
Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.  
Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.  
MS. Vartika Walia, Adv.  
M/s Corporate Law Group, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mohit D. Ram, Adv.  
  
Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG,  
Mr. S.Wasim A.Qadri, Adv.  
MS. Binu Tamta, Adv.  
MS. Suhma Suri, Adv.  
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.  
  
Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, Adv.  
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.  
Mr. Anand, adv.  
Ms. Bhakti Vardan Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The plaintiff was in terms of our order dated 10.04.2015 directed to commence its evidence by producing witnesses in batches of two witnesses at a time for cross-examination w.e.f. 07.07.2015 onwards. Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, learned counsel today appears on behalf of the defendant-State of Nagaland and submits that he has recently taken over as Advocate-General for the State of Nagaland. He seeks two weeks' time to study the brief and to go on with the process of cross-examination of the witnesses of the plaintiff. The prayer for adjournment by two months' for that purpose is not opposed by Mr. Kapil Sibal, learned senior counsel appearing for the plaintiff-State of Assam.

In the circumstances we direct that the plaintiff shall now keep its witnesses present in batches of two at a time commencing 07.09.2015 for cross-examination. We make it clear that no further adjournment shall be granted to the defendant for cross-examining the witnesses.

Mr. Sibal submits that I.A. No. 27 of 2015 may be disposed of reserving liberty for the petitioner to summon the concerned official from the Surveyor General's office to produce the documents mentioned in the application. We accordingly dispose of I.A. No. 27 of 2015 with the liberty prayed for.

(SHASHI SAREEN)  
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)  
COURT MASTER