

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.17

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 35003/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-07-2025 in WAMD No. 1/2023 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras at Madurai]

ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER (OAD) & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S. RAJA Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

Date : 12-12-2025 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. K.M. Natraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR
Mr. Surya Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Shubhra Kapur, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Anuraj Mishra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Learned ASG, Mr. K.M. Natraj, appearing for the petitioners would submit that for misappropriation committed during replenishing of the cash in the ATM, petitioners as well as the one *Vanitha* (Office/ Deputy Manager), were subjected to two separate Departmental Inquiries as the delinquents belonged to different cadres. He would

submit that the High Court committed a serious error of law in drawing conclusion of likely bias from the conclusions that have already reached in *Vanitha's* case.

2. He would submit that when there is no plea that a common Departmental Inquiries should have been held against both the delinquents, if one of the Inquiry was concluded prior in point of time and on different charge, it cannot be said that the Disciplinary Authority would be biased against the delinquent in the subsequent Enquiry.

3. Issue notice, returnable in eight weeks.

4. In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(CHETNA BALOONI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)