

**SECTION XII**  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3492-3525, 3526-3527, 3528-3529,  
3530-3531 & 3535-3539 of 2011**

State of Tamil Nadu etc. etc. ...Appellants

Versus

S. Muthu Senthil & Ors. etc. etc. ...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matters above mentioned have been filed against the Judgment and Order dated 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2002 of the High Court of Judicature at Madras in W. A. No. 2624 and W.P. No. 16595 of 2001 and W.A. Nos. 18319, 14246, 12369, 13359, 13063, 15011, 20451, 12337, 22173, 22367, 22565, 22607, 23298 to 23309 and 23325, 23326, 23626, 23956, 24034, 24102, 24362 and 24452 of 2001

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3492-3525 of 2011**

| <b>C.A. No.</b> | <b>Total Respondents</b> | <b>Represented by Advocate</b> | <b>Served at SLP Stage</b> | <b>Remarks</b>   |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 3492            | Sole                     |                                | SLP stage                  | Service complete |
| 3493            | Sole                     |                                | SLP stage                  | Service complete |
| 3494            | Sole                     | M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co.      |                            | Service complete |
| 3495            | Sole                     | M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co.      |                            | Service complete |
| 3496            | Sole                     | Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma           |                            | Service complete |
| 3497            | Sole                     | Mr. Prashant Kumar             |                            | Service complete |
| 3498            | Sole                     |                                | SLP stage                  | Service complete |
| 3499            | Sole                     | Mr. S. Srinivasan              |                            | Service complete |

|      |       |                                       |   |                  |
|------|-------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| 3500 | Three | Respondent No. 1 by Mr. S. Srinivasan | Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 served at SLP stage | Service complete |
| 3501 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3502 | Sole  | M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co.             |   | Service complete |
| 3503 | Sole  | Mr. Prashant Kumar                    |   | Service complete |
| 3504 | Sole  | Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma                  |   | Service complete |
| 3505 | Sole  | M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co.             |   | Service complete |
| 3506 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3507 | Sole  | Mr. L.K. Pandey                       |   | Service complete |
| 3508 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3509 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3510 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3511 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3512 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3513 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3514 | Sole  | Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma                  |   | Service complete |
| 3515 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3516 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3517 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |
| 3518 | Sole  | Mr. Prashant Kumar                    |   | Service complete |
| 3519 | Sole  | Mr. Prashant Kumar                    |   | Service complete |
| 3520 | Sole  | Mr. V.N. Raghupathy                   |   | Service complete |
| 3521 | Sole  |                                       | SLP stage                                   | Service complete |

|      |      |                           |           |                  |
|------|------|---------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| 3522 | Sole |                           | SLP stage | Service complete |
| 3523 | Sole | Mr. L.K. Pandey           |           | Service complete |
| 3524 | Sole | M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co. |           | Service complete |
| 3525 | Sole |                           | SLP stage | Service complete |

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of cases and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where the parties do not file statement of cases within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the parties do not desire to file statement of cases and they have adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of cases.

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3526-3527 of 2011**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3526 of 2011**

It is submitted that there are four respondents, who have been served at Special Leave Petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3527 of 2011**

It is submitted that there are four respondents. Respondent No. 4 is represented by M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co., Advocates and Respondent No. 1 to 3 have been served at Special Leave Petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service is complete in both the matters.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case, but counsel for the respondent has not filed statement of case in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and the party has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3528-3529 of 2011**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3528 of 2011**

It is submitted that there are four respondents, who have been served at Special Leave Petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3529 of 2011**

It is submitted that there are four respondents. Respondent No. 4 is represented by M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co., Advocates and Respondent No. 1 to 3 have been served at Special Leave Petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service is complete in both the matters.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case, but counsel for the respondent has not filed statement of case in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and the party has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3530-3531 of 2011**

It is submitted that there are eight respondents. Respondent No. 8 is represented by M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co., Advocates, and respondent Nos. 1 to 7 have been served at Special Leave Petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service of notice is complete.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case but the counsel for the respondent has not filed statement of case in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and the party has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 3535-3539 of 2011**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3535 of 2011**

There is sole respondent, who is represented by Mr. Srinivasan, Advocate.

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3536 of 2011**

There is sole respondent, who has been served at Special Leave Petition stage.

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3537 of 2011**

There are fifteen respondents. Appeal against respondent No. 8 stands dismissed for non-prosecution vide Court's order dated 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2009. Other respondents have been served at Special Leave Petition stage.

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3538 of 2011**

There is sole respondent, who has been served at Special Leave Petition stage.

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3539 of 2011**

There is sole respondent, who is represented by Mr. Srinivasan, Advocate.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case but counsel for the respondent has not filed statement of case in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and the party has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

Service of notice is complete in all the matters.

The matters above mentioned are listed before Ld. Registrar's Court with this office report.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate  
M/s Aruputham Aruna & Company, Advocates  
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate  
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Advocate  
Mr. S. Srinivasan, Advocate  
Mr. L. K. Pandey, Advocate  
Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Advocate  
Mr. V. Balachandran, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac