

REPORTABLE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A.NO. 487/2017, I.A. NO. 491/2017, I.A. NO. 494/2017,  
I.A. NO. 489/2017, I.A. NO. 495/2017  
in  
Writ Petition(Civil) No.13029/1985

M.C.MEHTA . . .PETITIONER(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. . .RESPONDENT(s)

O R D E R

We have heard the learned Solicitor General, learned *Amicus Curiae*, learned counsel for the interveners who are manufacturers of vehicles (two wheelers, three wheelers, four wheelers and commercial vehicles - for short referred to as 'such vehicles') and learned counsel for the association of dealers of such vehicles.

The seminal issue in these applications is whether the sale and registration and therefore the commercial interests of manufacturers and dealers of such vehicles that do not meet the Bharat Stage-IV (for short 'BS-IV') emission standards as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 takes primacy over the health hazard due to increased air pollution of millions of our country men and women. The answer is quite obvious.

The controversy relates to the sale and registration (on and after 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017) of such vehicles lying in

stock with the manufacturers and dealers that meet the Bharat Stage III emission standards (for short BS-III standards) but do not meet the BS-IV emission standards.

Briefly, according to the manufacturers, they are entitled to manufacture such vehicles till 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 and they have done so. In so doing, they say that they have not violated any prohibition or any law. Hence, the sale and registration of such vehicles on and from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 ought not to be prohibited. They say that they will not be manufacturing any vehicle that does not comply with the BS-IV emission standards from and after 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 and therefore the only issue is the sale and registration of the existing stock of such vehicles that comply with BS-III emission standards. They say that they may be given reasonable time to dispose of the existing stock of such vehicles.

On the other hand, according to the learned *Amicus*, permitting such vehicles to be sold or registered on or after 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 would constitute a health hazard to millions of our country men and women by adding to the air pollution levels in the country (which are already quite alarming). It is her submission that the manufacturers of such vehicles were fully aware, way back in 2010, that all vehicles would have to convert to BS-IV fuel on and from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 and therefore, they had more than enough time to stop the production of BS-III compliant vehicles and switch over to the manufacture of

BS-IV compliant vehicles. In fact, the major manufacturer of 4 wheeler vehicles, Maruti Sazuki had completely switched over to the manufacture of BS-IV compliant vehicles a few years ago. However, for reasons best known to manufacturers of such vehicles and entirely at their peril, they did not make a complete switch (though a partial switch has been made) even though they had the technology and technical know-how to do so. Therefore, keeping the larger public interest in mind and the potential health hazard to millions of our country men and women due to increased air pollution, there is no justification for any of the manufacturers not shifting to the manufacture of BS-IV compliant vehicles well before 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017.

It has been brought to our notice that on 5<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 the learned Solicitor General on behalf of the Government of India had submitted before this Court that requisite quality fuel for BS-IV compliant vehicles would be available (all over the country) with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017.<sup>1</sup> This was confirmed and reiterated by the learned Solicitor General during the course of hearing and he stated that now from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 requisite quality fuel for BS-IV compliant vehicles would be available all over the country. He also pointed out that the refineries of the Government of India had incurred an expenditure of about Rs.30,000 crores for producing

---

1

M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, (2016) 4 SCC 269

requisite fuel for BS-IV compliant vehicles.

On balance, in our opinion, the submission of the learned *Amicus* deserves to be accepted keeping in mind the potential health hazard of such vehicles being introduced on the road affecting millions of our people in the country. The number of such vehicles may be small compared to the overall number of vehicles in the country but the health of the people is far, far more important than the commercial interests of the manufacturers or the loss that they are likely to suffer in respect of the so-called small number of such vehicles. The manufacturers of such vehicles were fully aware that eventually from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 they would be required to manufacture only BS-IV compliant vehicles but for reasons that are not clear, they chose to sit back and declined to take sufficient pro-active steps.

Accordingly, for detailed reasons that will follow, we direct that:

(a) On and from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 such vehicles that are not BS-IV compliant shall not be sold in India by any manufacturer or dealer, that is to say that such vehicles whether two wheeler, three wheeler, four wheeler or commercial vehicles will not be sold in India by any manufacturer or dealer on and from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017.

(b) All the vehicle registering authorities under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 are prohibited for registering such vehicles on and from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 that

do not meet BS-IV emission standards, except on proof that such a vehicle has already been sold on or before 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

As mentioned above, detailed reasons for the above order will be given in due course.

.....J.  
(MADAN B. LOKUR)

.....J.  
(DEEPAK GUPTA)

NEW DELHI  
MARCH 29, 2017

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.5

SECTION PIL(W)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A.NO. 487/2017, I.A. NO. 491/2017, I.A. NO. 494/2017,  
I.A. NO. 489/2017, I.A. NO. 495/2017 in  
Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).13029/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.  
(FOR direction and intervention)

Respondent(s)

APPLICANT:           BAJAJ AUTO LTD. (I.A.487)

HERO MOTOCORP LTD. (I.A.491)

AMICUS CURIAE (I.A.494)

HONDA MOTORCYCLE AND SCOOTER INDIA PVT. LTD.(I.A. 489)

FEDERATION OF AUTOMOBILE DEALERS ASSOCIATION (I.A.495)

Date : 29/03/2017 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Petitioner(s)    Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv. (A.C.) (NP)

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.) (NP)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.) (NP)

Ms. Aparajita Singh, Adv. (A.C.)

Petitioner-in-person

For Respondent(s)   Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG  
Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.  
Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv.  
Mr. G.S. Makkar, AOR

Bajaj Auto            Mr. Kaushik Poddar, AOR  
Mr. Gautam Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Snehil Sonam Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Isha Singh, Adv.

Hero Motocorp	Mr. Tarun Gulati, Adv. Mr. Neil Hildreth, Adv. Mr. Rahul Jain, Adv. Mr. Kishore Kunal, AOR Mr. Ankur Talwar, Adv. Mr. Anupam Mishra, Adv. Ms. Aswathi Balraj, Adv. Ms. Hitakshi Mittal, Adv. Mr. Abeer Kumar, Adv.
Honda Motorcycle	Ms. Nandini Gidwaney, AOR
FADA	Mr. Sachin Pujari, Adv. Mr. R.V. Prabhat, Adv. Mr. Hrishikesh Chitale, Adv. Mr. Ashish Verma, Adv. Mr. Chandra Prakash, AOR
Tata Motors	Mr. Sandeep Naryan, Adv. Mrs. Nandini Gore, Adv. Ms. Tahira Karanjawala, Adv. Ms. Natasha Sehrawat, Adv. Ms. Khushboo Bari, Adv. Mrs. Manik Karanjawala, Adv. Mr. Sidharth Sharma, Adv.
SIAM	Mr. Sandeep Narain, Adv. Mr. Avishkar Singhvi, Adv. for M/s. S. Narain & Co.
CPCB	Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.
Piaggio Vehicles	Mr. Sandeep Narain, Adv. for M/s. S. Narain & Co.
Toyota	Mr. Vijay K. Sondhi, Adv. Sujeeta Srivastava, Adv. Cauveri Birbal, Adv. Arnav Sanyal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We have heard the learned Solicitor General, learned *Amicus Curiae*, learned counsel for the interveners who are manufacturers of vehicles (two wheelers, three wheelers, four wheelers and commercial vehicles - for

short referred to as 'such vehicles') and learned counsel for the association of dealers of such vehicles.

In terms of the signed reportable order, following directions were passed:

"Accordingly, for detailed reasons that will follow, we direct that:

(a) On and from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 such vehicles that are not BS-IV compliant shall not be sold in India by any manufacturer or dealer, that is to say that such vehicles whether two wheeler, three wheeler, four wheeler or commercial vehicles will not be sold in India by any manufacturer or dealer on and from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017.

(b) All the vehicle registering authorities under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 are prohibited for registering such vehicles on and from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2017 that do not meet BS-IV emission standards, except on proof that such a vehicle has already been sold on or before 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

As mentioned above, detailed reasons for the above order will be given in due course."

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)

AR-CUM-PS

(Signed 'reportable' order is placed on the file)

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)

COURT MASTER