

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 13029/1985

M.C. MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

I.A. NOS. 247-248/2005
(FOR DIRECTIONS & EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

I.A.NO. 249/2005
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A. NOS. 250-251/2005
(FOR STAY)

I.A.NO. 266/2007
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A.NOS. 308-309/2008
(FOR MODIFICATION OF COURT'S ORDER & DIRECTIONS)

I.A. NO. 312/ 2008
(FOR EXTENSION OF TIME)

I.A.NO. 272/2008
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A.NO. 274/2008
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A.NO. 292/2008
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A.NO. 295/2008
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A.NO. 296/2008
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A.NO. 297/2008
(FOR IMPLEADMENT)

I.A.NOS. 306,313/2008
(FOR DIRECTIONS & EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

I.A. NO. 346/2013
(FOR DIRECTIONS)

Date : 14-07-2017 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)

 Ms. Aparajita Singh, Adv. (A.C.)

 Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

 Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.)

 Petitioner-In-Person

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG
 Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.
 Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.
 Mr. Saeed Qadri, Adv.
 Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.
 Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv.
 Mr. D.L. Chidananda, Adv.
 Mr. Rajesh K. Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Vibhu Shanker Mishra, Adv.
 Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.
 Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.
 Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, Adv.
 Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.

 Mr. Neeraj Kishan Kaul, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, Adv.
M/s IGL Mr. Samar Kachwalia, Adv.
 Mr. Vivekananda Bommineni, Adv.
 Mr. Divyanshu Srivastava, Adv.
 Mr. Saurav Agrawal, Adv.
 Mr. Satyawan Shekhawat, Adv.
 Ms. Aakriti Dawar, Adv.

GNCTD Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, Adv.
 Ms. Sarika Soam, Adv.

HCGD Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Neelima Tripathi, Adv.
 Mr. K.V. Mohan, Adv.
 Mr. Shikhar Khare, Adv.
 Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Adv.

PNGRB Mr. Prashant Bezborvah, Adv.
 Mr. Munawwar Naseem, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Dewan, Adv.

MCD Mr. Sanjeev Sen, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Suvesh Kr., Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.
Mr. Lokendra Kumar, Adv.

CPCB Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.

Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Adv.

Mr. M.R. Shamshad, Adv.

Mr. Mr. K.V. Mohan, Adv.

Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, Adv.

Mr. Ranjit B. Raut, Adv.
Mr. Abhay A. Jena, Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.

Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Pudussery, Adv.
Mr. K. Vijayanan, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Hazarika, Adv.
Mr. A.S. Verma, Adv.

Mr. Ravinder Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Vijay K. Sondhi, Adv.
Cauveri Birbal, Adv.
Ms. Sujeeta Srivastava, Adv.

Haryana Mr. Anil Grover, AAG
Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen, Adv.
Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.

Kerala Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Jishnu M.L., Adv.
Mrs. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Beena Prakash, Adv.

Meghalaya Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Rajasthan Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Raj, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.

U.P. Mr. Shrish Kr. Misra, Adv.
Mr. Anuvart Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Tulika Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Samir Ali Khan, Adv.

Ms. Manjula Gupta, Adv.
Mr. R.C. Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Gitanjali N. Sharma, Adv.

Puducherry Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.
Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramaniam, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

I.A. Nos. 247-248/2005

Learned counsel for the applicant says that no objection certificate has been granted to M/s Indraprastha Gas Limited (IGL) by the State of Uttar Pradesh.

Under the circumstances, he does not press these applications.

The applications are dismissed as not pressed.

I.A. No. 249/2005

This application has been filed by M/s IGL.

Learned counsel for the applicant says that nothing survives in this application.

The application is disposed of as such.

I.A. No. 250-251/2005

This is an application filed by M/s IGL for stay of operation of letter dated 26.08.2005 issued by the Director of Industries.

List the applications on 29.08.2017.

I.A. No. 266/2005

List the application on 29.08.2017.

I.A. No. 308/2008

Learned counsel for the applicant says that allotment of gas has been made to the applicant, therefore, he does not press this application.

The application is dismissed as not pressed.

I.A. No. 309/2008

In view of the order passed in I.A. No. 266/2005, this application is not pressed.

The application is dismissed as not pressed.

I.A. No. 312/2008

Learned counsel for the applicant says that the application has become infructuous.

The application is dismissed on having become infructuous.

I.A. No. 272/2008

No one is present on behalf of the applicant.

The application is dismissed for non prosecution.

I.A. No. 274/2008

No one is present on behalf of the applicant.

The application is dismissed for non prosecution.

I.A. No. 292/2008

Learned counsel for the applicant says that the application has become infructuous.

The application is dismissed on having become infructuous.

I.A. No. 295/2008

Learned counsel for the applicant says that the application has become infructuous.

The application is dismissed on having become infructuous.

I.A. No. 296/2008

Learned counsel for the applicant says that his client is unable to take any decision in the matter.

The application is dismissed.

I.A. No. 297/2008

The application is dismissed.

I.A. Nos. 306 and 313 of 2008

No one is present to press these applications.

The applications are dismissed for non prosecution.

I.A. No. 346/2008

The application is filed by M/s IGL to the effect that the Director of Industries & Commerce, Haryana and the State of Haryana may be directed not to interfere or obstruct with the work of M/s IGL in making arrangements for supply of gas to the consumers in Gurgaon. It is prayed, on the contrary, that the State of Haryana should extend all co-operation in this regard. It is further prayed that letter dated 05.02.2013 issued by the Director of Industries & Commerce in the State of Haryana should be quashed and the required sanctions / consents / approvals may be given to M/s IGL for setting up CGD networks and CNG stations in Faridabad and Gurgaon.

On 07.04.2017, we had noted that the State of Haryana would be taking a decision in the matter within two weeks.

More than three months have gone by, we are told that only last night the State of Haryana has taken a decision which was communicated to M/s IGL. The decision has not been placed on record nor has been communicated to the Haryana City Gas

Distribution Ltd.

Learned counsel says that the State of Haryana will file an affidavit placing the decision on record along with the reasons for delay. The affidavit explaining the delay may be taken on record subject to initial payment of Rs.25,000/- which is to be deposited with the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee within a week for juvenile justice issues.

In the event we find delay explained is not satisfactory, we may impose further costs on the State of Haryana.

Reply to I.A. No. 346 as well as objections to the communication of the State of Haryana may be filed before the next date of hearing.

List the application on 29.08.2017.

(MEENAKSHI KOHLI)
COURT MASTER

(SHARDA KAPOOR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR