

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.3

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. NOS. 250-251/2005 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s) .13029/1985

M.C. MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR STAY)

WITH I.A.NO. 266/2005 (FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A. NO. 346/2013 (FOR DIRECTIONS)

I.A.NO. 73432/2017 (FOR INTERIM STAY AND DIRECTIONS)

Date : 04-10-2017 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv. (A.C.) (NP)

Ms. Aparajita Singh, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv. (A.C.) (NP)

For Petitioner(s) Petitioner-in-person

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Neeraj Kishan Kaul, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Gourab Banerji, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Saurav Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. Satyawan Shekhawat, Adv.  
Mr. Vivekananda Bominneni, Adv.  
Mr. Sahil Tagotra, Adv.  
Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, AOR

Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Neelima Tripathi, Adv.  
Mr. K.V. Mohan, AOR  
Mr. Shikhar Khare, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Choudhary, AOR

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG  
Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Nishal Viz., Adv.  
Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen, AOR

Mr. A.K. Panda, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Vibhu Shanker Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.  
Mr. Kuldeep Chauhan, Adv.  
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.  
Mr. D.L. Chidananda, Adv.  
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. Vijay Panjwani, AOR

Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, AOR

Mr. Ajit Pudussery, AOR

Mr. Prashant Bezboruah, Adv.  
Mr. Munawar Naseem, AOR  
Mr. Rakesh Dewan, Adv.

Ms. Bina Gupta, AOR

Mr. Samir Ali Khan, Adv.  
Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Cause title of the case should be reflected as:

M.C. Mehta

In Re: Allocation of Natural Gas to M/s.  
Indraprastha Gas Limited.

The above case relates to I.A. Nos.250-251 of 2005,  
266 of 2005, 346 of 2013 and 73432 of 2017.

The basic objection of learned counsel appearing on  
behalf of M/s. Indraprastha Gas Limited relates to the

order dated 4<sup>th</sup> April, 2008 passed in the aforesaid case.

It appears that an interim measure was ordered by this Court where out of 0.7 MMSCMD per day of natural gas being supplied to M/s. Indraprastha Gas Limited by the Union of India, 0.25 MMSCMD was required to be supplied to M/s. Haryana City Gas Distribution Limited.

By an order dated 16<sup>th</sup> August, 2017, we had made it clear that M/s. Haryana City Gas Distribution Limited may receive 0.25 MMSCMD of natural gas from M/s. Indraprastha Gas Limited in terms of the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> April, 2008 only for vehicles in relation to the four CNG Stations as mentioned in paragraph 3 of the application.

This is objected to by learned counsel for M/s. Indraprastha Gas Limited who says that the interim measure arrived at by this Court on 4<sup>th</sup> April, 2008 now needs to be recalled.

This is, of course, opposed by learned counsel for M/s. Haryana City Gas Distribution Limited.

For the disposal of these applications, list them on 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2017.

Mr. Kapil Sibal, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of M/s. Haryana City Gas Distribution Limited says that the natural gas is being utilized only for transport sector in Faridabad and Gurugram.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)  
AR-CUM-PS

(KAILASH CHANDER)  
COURT MASTER