

6(

W.P(C)No. 4677 OF 1985

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

ITEM No.1

Court No. 2

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

IA No 22 in Writ Petition(Civil) No.4677/1985
With I.A. No.1206 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

[Re:Shifting of Industries from residential area of Delhi, New Delhi]
[with office report]

with

I.A. Nos.1204, 1205, 1224 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

with

I.A. No.1322 in I.A.No.22 in WP(C) No.4677/85

(for directions),

I.A. No.1323/2000 in I.A. No. 22 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

(re: Rajendra Ravindra Printers (P) Ltd.) (for directions)

with

W.P.(C) No.98/2000@@

CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Poorvi Vishwas Ngr.S. Kalyan Samiti (Regd.)

Petitioner(s)

vs.

Union of India & Ors.

Respondent(s)

(with appln.(s) for directions)

with

I.A. No. 1367 in I.A. No. 22 in WP(C) No. 4677/1985

(re: Laghu Udyog Bharathi through its President Mr. G K Arora)

(for directions)

Date : 21/01/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

Amicus Curiae Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

For Petitioner (s) Mr. M.C. Mehta, Adv.
Petitioner-in-person.(NP)

For Union of India/
Ministry of Mr. K C Kaushik, Adv.
Urban Development/
Ministry of Mr. B.V. Balaram Das. Adv.
Environment Mr. S N Terdol, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

- For Govt. of NCT,Delhi Mr. D.N. Goburdhun, Adv.
Ms. Pinky Anand, Adv.
Ms. Geeta Luthra, Adv.
- Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
- For MCD Ms. Indra Sawhney, Adv.
- For DPCC Mr. R C Verma, Adv.
Ms. Kiran Kapoor and Mr. Mukesh Verma,Adv.
- For NCRP Board Ms. Sheil Sethi, Adv.
- For CPCB Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.
- For DDA Mr. V B Saharya, Adv. for
M/s. Saharya & Co., Adv.
- Mr. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.(NP)
- Mr. A.P. Dhamija, Adv.
Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.(NP)
- for applicants in IA 1323 Mr. M L Lahoty, Adv.
Mr. Paban K Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.
- IA 1367 Mr. Rakesh K Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Reetesh Singh, Adv.
Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.
- in WP 98/2000
For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mohd. Arif, Adv.
Mr. Chander Bhan Garg, Adv.
Mr. M A Chinnasamy, Adv.
- For DVB Mr. Rakesh K Sharma, Adv.
- For DJB Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Adv.
Mr. Suresh C Tripathy, Adv.
- for Govt. of NCT Delhi Mr. D N Goburdhun, Adv.
Ms. Pinky Anand and Ms. Geeta Luthra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

At the request on behalf of the counsel for the
applicant-Mr. A.P. Dhamija for Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain the
matters are adjourned. List after two weeks.

.SP1
Kalyani. (S.L. GOYAL)@@
AA
COURT MASTER @@
A AAA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 22 in Writ Petition(Civil) No.4677/1985
With I.A. No.1206 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent (s)

[Re:Shifting of Industries from residential area of Delhi, New Delhi]
[with office report]

with
I.A. Nos.1204, 1205, 1224 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

with
I.A. No.1322 in I.A.No.22 in WP(C) No.4677/85
(for directions),

I.A. No.1323/2000 in I.A. No. 22 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985
(re: Rajendra Ravindra Printers (P) Ltd.) (for directions)

with
W.P.(C) No.98/2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Poorvi Vishwas Ngr.S. Kalyan Samiti (Regd.) Petitioner(s)

vs.

Union of India & Ors. Respondent(s)

(With Office Report)

with
I.A. No. 1367 in I.A. No. 22 in WP(C) No. 4677/1985
(re: Laghu Udyog Bharathi through its President Mr. G K Arora)
(for directions)

Date : 13/08/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE

Amicus Curiae Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

For Petitioner (s) Mr. M.C. Mehta, Adv.
Petitioner-in-person.

For Union of India/
Ministry of Mr. K.N. Raval, ASG
Urban Development/
Ministry of Mr. K C Kaushik, Adv.
Environment Mr. Krishan Mahajan, Adv.
Mr. S N Terdol, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

....2/-

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No. 1368 in Writ Petition(Civil) No.4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(for amendment of order dated 12.09.2000 & 14.11.2000 with
office report) (reg. Rural Industrial Welfare Association
Regd. through its President).
With

I.A. No. 1528 in I.A. No. 18 in WP (C) No. 4677/1985
(for clarification of order dated 14.12.1998)
(reg. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.)

Date : 30/07/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE

Amicus Curiae Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

For Petitioner (s)
Petitioner-In-Person(NP)

For Applicant (s)
in IA 1368 Mr. J D Jain, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, Adv.

in IA 1528 Mr. K N Raval, ASG
Mr. H K Puri, Mr. S K Puri, Mr. Rajesh
Srivastava, Mr. Ujjwal Banerjee and
Ms. Anindita Gupta, Advs.

For Respondent (s)
Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.
Mr. V B Saharya, Adv. for
M/s. Saharya & Co., Advs.
Mr. R C Verma, Adv.
Ms. Kiran Kapoor and Mr. Mukesh Verma, Advs.
Mr. K N Raval, ASG
Mr. K C Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. B V Balram Das, Adv.
Mr. D N Goburdhan, Adv.
Ms. Pinky Anand and Ms. Geeta Luthra, Advs.

...2/-

Ms. Indra Sawhney, Adv.

Ms. Sheil Sethi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.R

I.A. No. 1368@@
CCCCCCCCCCCC

I.A. is dismissed.

I.A. No. 1528 in I.A. No. 18@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

It is stated by Mr. Raval that alternative site has been allotted to Delhi Automobiles and, therefore, the site in question should be surrendered. We order accordingly and the interim order dated 14th December, 1998, in so far as this application is concerned, is recalled. The L&DO should now take the possession forthwith. I.A. is disposed of.

(D.P. WALIA)
COURT MASTER

(S.L. GOYAL)
Court Master

.PA

ITEM No. 5

Court No. 3

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 22 in Writ Petition(Civil) No.4677/1985
With I.A. No.1206 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

[Shifting of Industries from residential area of Delhi, New Delhi]
[with office report]

with
I.A. Nos.1204, 1205, 1224 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

with
I.A. No.1322 in I.A.No.22 in WP(C) No.4677/85
(for directions),

I.A. No.1323/2000 in I.A. No. 22 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985
(re: Rajendra Ravindra Printers (P) Ltd.) (for directions)

with
W.P.(C) No.98/2000@@

IA 1323 Mr. Paban K Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.

IA 1367 Mr. Surya Kant, Adv. ?

in WP 98/2000

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mohd. Arif & Mr. M A Chinnasamy, Adv.

For DVB Mr. Rakesh K Sharma, Adv.

For DJB Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Adv.
Mr. Suresh Tripathy, Adv.

for Govt. of NCT Delhi Mr. D N Goburdhun, Adv.
Ms. Pinky Anand and Ms. Geeta Luthra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

.SP1

Kalyani. (D.P. WALIA) (S.L. GOYAL)@@
AA
1 COURT MASTER COURT MASTER @@
A AA

.PA
ITEM No. 2 Court No. 3 SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 22 in Writ Petition(Civil) No.4677/1985
With I.A. No.1206 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent (s)

[Shifting of Industries from residential area of Delhi, New Delhi]
[with office report]

with
I.A. Nos.1204, 1205 & 1224 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

with
I.A. No.1322 in I.A.No.22 in WP(C) No.4677/85 (for
directions),

I.A. No.1323/2000 in I.A. No. 22 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985 (reg.
Rajendra Ravindra Printers (P) Ltd. vs. Govt. of NCT of
Delhi & Ors.)(for directions)

with
I.A. Nos. 1332-1362 in I.A. No.36 in WP(C) No.4677/1985
[Reg: Employees of Hindustan Vegetable Oil Corporation]
(for directions)

with
I.A. No. 1368 in WP(C) No. 4677/1985 (for amendment of order
dated 12.09.2000 & 14.11.2000)(Reg. Rural Industrial Welfare
Association through its President)

I.A. Nos.1369-1383 & 1384 in I.A. No. 22 in WP(C) No.4677/1985
(for directions and exemption from filing O.T.)

I.A. Nos.1385-1412 in I.A. No. 22 in WP (C) No.4677/1985
(For directions)

with
I.A. No.1414 in IA 22 in WP (C) 4677/1985
(For directions)

with
I.A. No.1415 in I.A. No. 22 in WP (C) 4677/1985
(For directions)

with
I.A. Nos. 1416-1417 in IA 22 in WP (C) 4677/1985
(For directions)

WITH

.PA

...2/-

: 2 :

W.P.(C) No.98/2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Poorvi Vishwas Ngr.S. Kalyan Samiti (Regd.)

Petitioner(s)

vs.

Union of India & Ors.

Respondent(s)

(With Office Report)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. D2184/2001 (Vishwas Nagar Laghu Nirmata Sangh & Anr.
vs. Union of India & Ors.) (with office report)

Date : 08/02/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR

Amicus Curiae Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

For Petitioner (s) Mr. M.C. Mehta, Adv.
Petitioner-in-person.

For Union of India/ Ministry of Urban Development/ Ministry of Environment	Mr. K.N. Raval, ASG Mr. K C Kaushik, Adv. Mr. Krishan Mahajan, Adv. Mr. S N Terdol, Adv. Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv. Mr. C.V. Subba Rao, Adv. Mr. R.N. Verma, Adv. Mr. C. Radhkrishna, Adv. Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv. Mr. B V Balram Das, Adv.
IA 22 & IA 1206 (For Govt. of NCT)	Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Sr. Adv. Mr. D.N. Goburdhun, Adv. Ms. Pinky Anand, Adv. Ms. Geeta Luthra, Adv.
For MCD	Ms. Indra Sawhney, Adv. Mr. D K Singh, Adv.
For DPCC	Mr. R.C. Verma, Adv.
For NCRP Board	Ms. Sheil Sethi, Adv.
For CPCB	Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.
For DDA	Mr. V A Mohtra, Sr. Adv. Mr. V B Saharya, Adv. for M/s. Saharya & Co., Advs.
	...3/-
.PA	- 3 -
	Mr. Ramji Srinivasan, Adv. and Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv. for Mr. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.
	Mr. R S Suri, Adv.
For Govt. of NCT, Delhi in IA 1322 & 1323	Mr. D N Goburdhun, Adv. Ms. Pinky Anand and Ms. Geeta Luthra, Advs.
	Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.
	Mr. M A Chinnaswamy, Adv.
for applicants in IA 1323	Mr. M L Lahoty, Adv. Mr. Paban K Sharma, Adv. Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.
for applicants in IAS 1332-1362	Mr. Mukesh K Giri, Adv.
for applicants in IA 1368	Mr. J D Jain, Sr. Adv. Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, Adv.
for applicants in IAS1369-1383 & 1384	Mr. R S Suri, Adv.
for applicants in IAS 1385-1412	Mr. Pradeep Gupta, Adv. Mr. D.B. Vohra, Adv.

for applicants in IAs 1414-1415 Mr. Rajesh Mittra, Adv.

For applicants in IAs 1416-1417 Ms. Kamlesh Jain, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

in WP 98/2000

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mohd. Arif & Mr. M A Chinnasamy, Adv.

For DVB Mr. Rakesh K Sharma, Adv.

For DJB Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Adv.
Mr. Suresh Tripathy, Adv.

for Govt. of NCT Delhi Mr. D N Goburdhun, Adv.
Ms. Pinky Anand and Ms. Geeta Luthra, Adv.

in WP(C) D2384/2001

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shanti Bhushan, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Rajeev Dhavan, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Pratibha Jain, Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain,
Mr. A P Dhamija and Mr. Pradeep Agarwal, Adv.

...4/-

.PA

: 4 :

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

W.P. (C) No.D2184/2001@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

It is contended that as the order dated 24th January, 2001 is being challenged to which two of us (Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.N. Kirpal and Hon'ble Mr. Justice Brijesh Kumar) were party this writ petition should be heard by another Bench. The papers be placed before the Hon'ble the Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

I.A. No.1385-1412@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

The applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

I.A. Nos. 1332-1362@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

Issue notice returnable after four weeks.

I.A. Nos. 1414 and 1415@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

Issue notice.
Mr. K N Raval accepts notice.

It is not in dispute that those premises which have been sealed can be re-opened for the purposes of removing belongings, finished goods or raw materials and machinery and the said premises can also, on an undertaking in the form of affidavit being furnished to the Review Committee and/or Nodal Agency that the premises will be used in a conforming manner, be desealed for the said purpose. Where there are premises which house both residence as well as industrial unit and they have been sealed, the same should be desealed on an undertaking being given by the occupants

.PA

: 5 :

that the same shall not be used for industrial purposes and machinery is removed. The applications received by the SDMs for desealing should be forwarded to the Review Committee at the very earliest. Applications received so far should be forwarded within a week from today and the Review Committee will take appropriate decision thereon within a week thereafter. The orders of the Review Committee with regard to desealing would be subject to such conditions as may be imposed by them.

I.As. are disposed of.
This order will also apply to similar cases.

I.A. No. 1368@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

Issue notice returnable after four weeks.

I.A. Nos. 1369-1383 & 1384@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

I.As. are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file a representation before the Review Committee which shall dispose of the same within a fortnight of the filing of the representation.

I.A. Nos. 1416-1417@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

I.A. Nos. 1418-19 are taken on board.

It would be more appropriate for the applicants to approach the Government for possession of land rather than applying to the Court.

I.As. are disposed of.

.PA

: 6 :

Remaining matters@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties. According to a statement which had been filed earlier, the total number of industries in 1995-96 were stated to be 126218. This statement was prepared by the D.P.C.C. and according to it there were 28807 industries in conforming area and 97411 in non-conforming area. Pursuant to an advertisement, about 52000 industries had applied for alternative plots of land, but only about 24000 were found eligible. The development of the industrial estates has been slow with the result that these 24000 industrial units have not been able to shift so far: in fact physical possession has not been handed over. According to affidavit of 5th February, 2001 which has been filed by the Commissioner of Industries on behalf of the Government of N.C.T., Delhi, it has been proposed that there should be in situ regularisation of the areas of industrial concentration. An effort is to be made by the Delhi Government, once again, to persuade the Union Government to agree.

In the said affidavit, it is further stated that a list of 41 household industries has been prepared so as to enlarge the list of household industries which exist in the Master Plan. If both these exercises are successful, we are informed that 15000 industries would be regularised in situ and 20000 household industries would be regarded as coming under the conforming area as valid household

industries. The total of these figures comes to 59000. If
...7/-

.PA

: 7 :

the exercise of the Government of N.C.T. is successful and the Union Government agrees to its suggestion, only 59000 + 6000 industries would be regularised. This would leave an unexplained figure of more than 32000 industries in non-conforming area which would not be covered by (i) in situ regularisation or (ii) fall within the expected expanded definition of 'household industry' or (iii) come under the category of industries which had applied and had been found eligible for allotment of land. No explanation is given immediately with regard to these industries continuing in the non-conforming area.

Be that as it may, this Court's immediate concern with regard to non-compliance of the earlier orders has been to see that first of all at least the polluting industries in the non-conforming area should be closed.

Out of about 39000 such industries which fall under F-category, the present affidavit on behalf of Govt. of N.C.T., Delhi, states that 3700 units have been sealed under Phase-I of the Action Plan, 7900 units were found vacant and were being used for residential activity, 6000 units were located in approved industrial areas which were meant for light industries and 21400 units had not been visited by the Sealing Committee for identifying inherently polluting industries. Confining ourselves to the closure of the polluting industries in the non-conforming area, it is found that out of 39000 such industries only 17600 were inspected within a period of about three weeks in Phase-I which had resulted in the sealing of 3700 industries. For the balance 21400 industries, the Government of N.C.T.,
...8/-

.PA

: 8 :

Delhi, wants 18 weeks' time to complete the survey and seal the industries which are identified as polluting industries.

Considering the fact that the first phase was carried out in three weeks, we see no justification in granting 18 weeks as asked for. It is represented that the process of identification had to be suspended because of terrorists' threat and Republic Day. Republic Day celebrations are over and it is time to get down to work and we see no justification in granting more than four weeks for completing the unfinished task of surveying 21400 industries, identifying them and sealing the inherently polluting industries which are operating in non-conforming area.

It is represented that the sealing operations are supervised and monitored by the Deputy Commissioner and SDM of the area and they are the officers who are involved in the working of the census operation. It was not the order of this Court that the task of sealing operations after identification should be undertaken by the Deputy Commissioner or the SDM. The direction was to the Government and the Nodal Agency to comply with the orders of this Court. If the Deputy Commissioner and SDMs are not available to undertake the task, it is open to the Government to deploy such other officers who are able to carry out the orders of this Court. It goes without saying that for complying with the orders of this Court, all Governmental agencies including M.C.D. and D.D.A., will make personnel available as and when required.

...9/-

.PA

Nodal Agency is not discharged.

List for further orders after six weeks by which time report regarding the aforesaid 21400 polluting units should be filed by the Nodal Agency and the Govt. of N.C.T., Delhi.

.SP1

Kalyani. (D.P. WALIA) (S.L. GOYAL)@@
AA
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER @@
A AA

.PA
ITEM No.1 Court No. 3 SECTION PIL
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 1166 & 1167 In IA No.22 in Writ Petition(Civil) No.4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent (s)

(For directions with office report)

With
I.A. No. 1268-1306 in IA Nos. 1166-1167 in IA 22 in WP (C) 4677/1985
[M.C. Mehta Vs. Union of India & Ors.] (For directions)

Date : 25/01/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

Amicus Curiae Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

For Petitioner (s)
Petitioner-In-Person(NP)

For Applicant (s)
Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Adv.
Mr. V K Sidharthan, Adv.

For Respondent (s)
Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, Adv.
and Mr. Sukumaran, Adv. for
M/s. J B D & Co., Advs.
Mr. R C Verma, Adv.
Mr. B K Khurana, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.
M/s. K L Mehta, Advs. (NP)

Ms. Sheil Sethi, Adv. (NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.R

List after four weeks. In the meantime, DPCC shall submit the Report.

(D.P. WALIA)
Court Master

(S.L. GOYAL)
Court Master

.PA

ITEM No.1

Court No. 3

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 1317 in Writ Petition(Civil) No.4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(with office report)

(re: R.Block Welfare Association, Rajender Nagar, Delhi)

Date : 31/01/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

Amicus Curiae Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

For Petitioner (s) Mr. M.C. Mehta,
Petitioner-In-Person(NP)

For Applicant (s) Dr. Rajeev Dhawan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S K Puri, Mr. S K Puri,
Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Mr. Ujjwal
Banerjee, Ms. Anindita Gupta and
Mr. R S Diwan, Advs.

For Respondent (s) Mr. H M Singh, Adv. (NP)

Mr. K N Raval, ASG
Mr. C V Subba Rao, Mr. K C Kaushik,
Mr. Krishan Mahajan, Mr. Ajay Sharma,
Mr. R N Verma, Ms. Varuna B Gugnani,
Mr. C Radha Krishna and Mr. B V Balram
Das, Advs. (for Union of India)
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv. (NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.R

Perused the office report. The application filed by the applicant is taken up for hearing. Technically, this

