

|0
W.P(C)No. 4677 OF 1985
ITEM No.2

Court No. 9

SECTION IL
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 22 In Writ Petition(Civil) No.4677/1985

With IA.No.1206 in W.P.(C)No.4677/1985

RE: Shifting of Industries from Residential Aread of Delhi, New Delhi

(With Office Report)

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

With

IA.Nos.1204,1205,1224 in W.P.(C)No.4677/85

With

I.A.No.1322 IN I.A.No.22 IN W.P.(C)No.4677/85 - For Directions

I.A.No.1323 IN I.A.No.22 IN W.P.(C)No.4677/85 - -do-

(RE: Rajendra Ravindra Printers (P) Ltd.)

WITH

W.P.(C)No.98/2000 - With appln. for directions)

WITH

I.A.No.1367 IN I.A.No.22 IN W.P.(C)No.4677/85 - For Directions

(RE: Laghu Udyog Bharathi through its President Mr. GK. Arora)

WITH

I.A.No.1531 IN I.A.No.22 IN W.P.(C)No.4677/85

WITH

I.A.No.1791 IN I.A.No.1531 IN I.A.No.22 IN W.P.(C)4677/85

WITH

I.A.No.1828 & 1829 IN I.A.No.1531 IN I.A.No.22 IN W.P.(C)4677/85

WITH

I.A.No.1830 IN I.A.No.1531 IN I.A.No.22 IN W.P.(C)4677/85

Date : 02/12/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

Amicus Curiae Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr.Adv.

For Petitioner (s) In person (NP)

For Union of India: Mr. Raju Ramachandran, ASG.
Mr. KC. Kaushik, Adv.

For GT Karnal Road: Mr. IC. Jain, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Jain, Adv.
Mr. K. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Reetesh Singh, Adv.
Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

Mr. S. Sukumaran, Adv.
Mr. K. Rajeev, Adv.

Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Vanita Bhargava, Adv.
Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.
Ms. Divya Roy, Adv.

Mr. Chandra Bhan Garg, Adv.
Mr. Mohmud Arif, Adv.
Mr. MA. Chinnasamy, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Atul Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Vishwajait Singh, Adv.

Mr. P. Chindambaram, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Harpreet Singh
Mr. P. Venugopal, Adv.
Mr. PS. Sudheer, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Adv. for
M/s KJ. John, & Co.,Adv.

Mr. PC. Jain, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Naresh Khanna, Adv.
Mr. PN. Puri, Adv.

NCT of Delhi Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Sr.Adv.
Mr. SWA. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. DS. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. Kirpal Singh
Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.

For DPCC Mr. RC. Verma, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.

For State of Haryana Mr. Neeraj K. Jain, Adv.

For Govt. of Delhi Mr. DN. Goburdhan, Adv.
Ms. Pinky Anand, Adv.
Ms. Geeta Luthra, Adv.

For DDA Mr. VB. Saharya, Adv. for
M/s Saharya & Co.,Adv.

Mr. C.V. Subba Rao,Adv.
Mrs Anil Katiyar,Adv.
Ms. Indu Malhotra,Adv.
Mr. R.K. Maheshwari,Adv.
Mr. R.S. Suri,Adv.
Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava,Adv.

For NCRPB:

Mrs Shiel Sethi,Adv.
Mr. Manoj Swarup, Adv.
Ms. Lalita Kohli, Adv.
Mr. KL. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav,Adv.
Mr. Vijay Panjwani,Adv.
Ms. Hemantika Wahi,Adv.
Mr. H.K. Puri,Adv.
M/s J.B. Dadachanji & Co.,Adv.
Mr. T.C. Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra,Adv.
Mr. S.K. Mehta,Adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar,Adv.
Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.
Mr. SC. Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Delhi Government is directed to file supplementary status report within six weeks.

The State Government shall also file within six weeks its response to the escalation of cost of putting up CETP which has been objected to by CETP societies.

-4-

It has been brought to our notice that Mohan Co-operative Industrial Estate CETP Society has made a representation dated 4.7.2002, inter alia, stating therein that CETP was not required though they had deposited a sum of Rs.50,00,000/- without prejudice to their contentions. The State Government shall respond thereto. In any case, the representation made to it by the said society be disposed of within six weeks.

Let the matters come up after six weeks.

.SP1

(S. Thapar)

(Suraj Prakash)@@

AA

PS to Registrar

Court Master@@

AA

AAAAAAAAAAAAA