

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No. 2187 & 2204 IN IA No. 22 IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 4677 OF 1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and placing on record Addl. facts on behalf of Vansh properties)  
WITH  
IA Nos. 2329-2330 In IA No. 22 IN WP(C)No. 4677/85  
(For impleadment & Directions on behalf of Smt.Parminder Kaur Walia)

Date: 26/03/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM

For appearing parties:

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Jasbir Malik, Adv.

for D.P.C. Mr. S.C. Verma, Adv.

R.W.A. Mr. R.K. Tripathi, Adv.

Petitioner-In-Person

Mr. D. Mehta, Adv. for

M/S K.L. Mehta & Co.

For Applicant in Mr. Arun Jaitley, Sr. Adv.  
IA 2187 & 2204: Ms. Parmitta Mukherjee, Adv.

for MCD Mr. Sanjeev Sen, Adv.

Mr. Praven Swarup, Adv.

for UOI Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

-2-

for NCT Delhi Mr. SWA Qadri, Adv.

Mr. MPS Tomar, Adv.

Ms. Kiran Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr. D.N. Goburdhan, Adv.

for Urban Devt. Ms. Geeta Luthra, Adv.

for DDAMr. Vishnu B. Saharya, Adv. for

M/s. Saharya & Co.

for CPCBMr. Vijay Panjwani,Adv.

Mr. Vikas Mehta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

In IA Nos. 2187&2204 In IA No.22

A copy of the report No.60 of the Monitoring Committee, so far it relates to the applicant Vansh Properties Private Limited, be supplied to the learned counsel for the applicant and the Municipal Corporation of Delhi. The response of the applicant and the MCD shall be filed within two weeks.

List thereafter.

In IA Nos 2329-2330 In IA No.22

The order dated 11.12.2007 passed in IA Nos. 2231-2232 in IA No.22 shall operate only in respect of shop No.3 in E.15, Kailash Colony, New Delhi, to which the present application relates. I.A.Nos. 2329-2330 stand

-3-

disposed of. The permission granted shall be subject to the challenge to the changed parameters as spelt out in the Notification of 12th August, 2008.

It is stated that under the revised Master Plan which is under challenge the maximum number which can be permitted is 4. In that view of the matter, if any application is filed in respect of any other shop, the same shall be considered in the background of the permissible limit, as by now four cases have already been dealt with.

[SUMAN WADHWA]  
COURT MASTER

[SHASHI BALA VIJ]  
COURT MASTER