

ITEM NO.70

COURT NO.4

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A.Nos.2641 In CONMT.PET.(C)NO.222/2012, I.A.NOS.2613, 2613A,2614, 2688-2689, 2690-2691, 2692-2693, 2694-2697, 2698-2699, 2700-2701, 2702-2703, 2704-2705, 2706-2707, 2708-2709, 2710-2711 In I.A.NO.22 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For restoration and permission to file extension of time and extension of time and directions and office report)

Date : 26/10/2015 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG

For Petitioner(s) Petitioner-in-person(NP)

For Respondent(s)/
Applicant(s) Ms.V.Mohana, Sr.Adv.
Ms.Kiran Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr.M.P.Gupta, Adv.
Mr.R.R.Rajesh, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr.V.Shekhar, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Ajay K.Jain, Adv.
Mr.Atul Shankar Vinod, Adv.
Mr.M.P.Vinod, Adv.

Mr.Dhruv Mehta, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Anupam Verma, Adv.
Mr.Raghav Chadha, Adv.
Mr.Abhay Kumar, Adv.
Mr.Tenzing Tsering, Adv.
Mr.Vineet Kr.Singh, Adv.

Mr.Pradeep K.Yadav, Adv.
Mr.Alok Singh, Adv.
Ms. Manju Jaitley, Adv.

Mr.Sanchar Anand, Adv.
Mr.Apoorv Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Devender Singh, Adv.

Mr.Ashok Kumar Juneja, Adv.
Mr.Chand Qureshi, Adv.
Ms.Manju Sharma Jaitley, Adv.

Mr. D.N. Goburdhan, Adv.
Mr.Abhishek Agrawal, Adv.
Mr.Lal Ramtiamma,Adv.

Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Sreekumar, Adv.

Mr.R.R.Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Santosh Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Jogy Scaria, Adv.
Mr.Arvind Kumar, Adv.
Ms.Beena Victor, Adv.

Mr.Sameer Abhayankar, Adv.
Mr. Satish Vig, Adv.

Mr.Amiteshwar Singh, Adv.
Mr.Sudhindra Tripathi,Adv.
Ms. Mahua Kalra, Adv.

Mr.S.Sood, Adv.

Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Amita Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh Bedi, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.No.2641 in Conmt.Pet.(C)No.222 of 2012

Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties, we are satisfied that the application for restoration should be allowed and the contempt petition should be restored to its original number. Ordered accordingly.

Conmt.Pet.(C)No.222 of 2012

Issue notice.

Liberty is granted to the alleged contemnors/respondents to file their replies in response to the averments made in the petition.

Personal presence of the alleged contemnors is dispensed with for the time being.

I.A.Nos.2688-2689, 2690-2691, 2692-2693, 2694-2697, 2698-2699, 2700-2701, 2702-2703, 2704-2705, 2706-2707, 2708-2709, 2710-2711 In I.A.No.22 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).4677/1985

The applications seeking permission to file application for extension of time are allowed.

The applicants in the aforesaid interlocutory applications have approached this Court seeking an extension of time, so as to approach the concerned Tribunal on account of the fact that the concerned Tribunal was not approached within the stipulated 30 days' time depicted in this Court's order dated 30.04.2013, or the extended time permitted vide order dated 31.10.2013.

Having given our thoughtful consideration to the issue in hand, we hereby extend the period to approach the concerned Tribunal by a further period of 30 days from today, for those who have not filed the appeal. For those who have already filed appeals beyond the time prescribed, delay is condoned.

The aforesaid interlocutory applications are allowed in the aforesaid terms.

I.A.NOS.2613, 2613A and 2614

No clarification is called for in the order dated

10.05.1996 as the order is very clear. The instant applications are accordingly dismissed

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER