

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

I.A.No.22
In
Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s) .4677 of 1985

M.C.MEHTA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

WITH

I.A.Nos.2744-2745, 2746-2747, 2748-2749, 2750-2751, 2752-2753,
2754-2755, 2756-2757, 2762-2763 & 2764-2765 in I.A.No.22 in W.P.(C)
No.4677/1985

O R D E R

I.A.No.22 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s) .4677/1985

Let the copy of Motion Bench order dated 07.09.2016 be served through the standing counsel, for the Municipal Corporation of Delhi, the New Delhi Municipal Corporation, and the Delhi Development Authority, nominated by these Corporations to appear before this Court.

Post for hearing on 21.11.2016.

I.A.Nos.2744-2745 In I.A.No.22 in W.P.(C)No.4677 of 1985

Learned counsel for the applicant states, that he does not want to press the instant applications, and wants to withdraw the same. However, a prayer has been made, that he may be permitted to file an appeal before the concerned Tribunal in

consonance with this Court's order dated 30.04.2013, and the extended time permitted vide order dated 31.10.2013.

Prayer is allowed.

The applicant is permitted to file an appeal before the concerned Tribunal within two weeks from today, subject to payment of cost of Rs.25,000/- (rupees twenty five thousand), which shall be deposited with the Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Welfare Trust, within two weeks from today.

The instant applications are accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforementioned.

2746-2747, 2748-2749, 2750-2751, 2752-2753, 2754-2755, 2756-2757, 2762-2763 & 2764-2765 in I.A.No.22 in W.P.(C) No.4677 of 1985

The applications seeking permission to file application for extension of time are allowed.

I.A.No.2746 of 2016 (application for impleadment) is allowed in terms of the prayer made.

The applicants in the aforesaid interlocutory applications have approached this Court seeking an extension of time, so as to approach the concerned Tribunal on account of the fact, that the concerned Tribunal was not approached within the stipulated 30 days' time depicted in this Court's order dated 30.04.2013, or the extended time permitted vide order dated 31.10.2013.

Having given our thoughtful consideration to the issue in hand, we hereby extend the period to approach the concerned Tribunal by two weeks from today, for those who have not filed the appeal. For those who have already filed appeals beyond the time

prescribed, delay is condoned. The above-mentioned relief is being granted to the applicants, subject to payment of Rs.25,000/- (rupees twenty five thousand) as costs. The above-mentioned costs shall be deposited by each of the applicants with the Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Welfare Trust within two weeks from today.

The interlocutory applications are allowed in the above terms.

.....J.
(JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR)

.....J.
(ARUN MISHRA)

.....J.
(A.M. KHANWILKAR)

NEW DELHI;
OCTOBER 24, 2016.

ITEM NO.68

COURT NO.2

SECTION PIL(W)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A.No.22 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Letter dt.12.04.2016 received from Monitoring Committee along with appln. for direction in sealed cover and office report)

WITH

I.A.Nos.2744-2745, 2746-2747, 2748-2749, 2750-2751, 2752-2753, 2754-2755, 2756-2757, 2762-2763 & 2764-2765 in I.A.No.22 in W.P.(C) No.4677/1985

(For permission and direction and condonation of delay and extension of time and office report)

Date : 24/10/2016 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

Amicus Curiae (s) Mr.A.D.N.Rao, Adv.

Ms.Anitha Shenoy, Adv.
Ms.Rashmi Nand Kumar, Adv.
Ms.Srishti Agnihotri, Adv.

For Petitioner(s) Petitioner-in-person (NP)

For Respondent(s)/ Applicant(s)
(For UOI) Mr.Ajay Sharma, Adv.
Ms.Jyotika Kalra, Adv.
Mr.G.S.Makkar, Adv.
Mr.R.K.Rathore, Adv.
Mr.Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr.Shailender Saini, Adv.

Mr.C.M.Sharma, Adv.
Mr.Chitta Ranjan Mishra, Adv.

For CPCB Mr.Vijay Panjwani, Adv.

Mr.Rajiv K.Garg, Adv.
Mr.Ashish Garg, Adv.
Mr.T.L.Garg, Adv.

Mr.Manish K.Choudhry, Adv.
Mr.Harshal Gupta, Adv.
Mr.Rabin Majumdar, Adv.

Mr.Avinash Kr.Lakhanpal, Adv.

Mr.Suneel K.Atreya, Adv.
Ms.Amita Singh Kalkal, Adv.

Mr.Neeraj K.Gupta, Adv.
Ms.Nidhi Minocha, Adv.
Mr. Parul Rana, Adv.

Mr.Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

For State of Punjab Mr.S.K.Pabbi, AAG
Mr.Shivendu Gaur, Adv.
Ms.Disha Singh, Adv.

Mr.Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr.Subhro Sanyal, Adv.

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A.No.22 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s).4677/1985

Let the copy of Motion Bench order dated 07.09.2016 be served through the standing counsel, for the Municipal Corporation of Delhi, the New Delhi Municipal Corporation, and the Delhi Development Authority, nominated by these Corporations to appear before this Court.

Post for hearing on 21.11.2016.

I.A.Nos.2744-2745 In I.A.No.22 in W.P.(C)No.4677 of 1985

Learned counsel for the applicant states, that he does not want to press the instant applications, and wants to withdraw the same. However, a prayer has been made, that he may be permitted to file an appeal before the concerned Tribunal in consonance with this Court's order dated 30.04.2013, and the extended time permitted vide order dated 31.10.2013.

Prayer is allowed.

The applicant is permitted to file an appeal before the concerned Tribunal within two weeks from today, subject to payment of cost of Rs.25,000/- (rupees twenty five thousand), which shall be deposited with the Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Welfare Trust, within two weeks from today.

The instant applications are accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforementioned.

2746-2747, 2748-2749, 2750-2751, 2752-2753, 2754-2755, 2756-2757, 2762-2763 & 2764-2765 in I.A.No.22 in W.P.(C) No.4677 of 1985

The applications seeking permission to file application for extension of time are allowed.

I.A.No.2746 of 2016 (application for impleadment) is allowed in terms of the prayer made.

The interlocutory applications are allowed in terms of the signed order.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(SNEH BALA MEHRA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)