

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

In RE.: SEALING ISSUE

- (1) IA NO. 2768/2016
(APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS FILED BY A.C.)
- (2) IA NOS. 2069-2069A
(APPLNS. FOR DIRECTIONS)
- (3) IA NO. 2180
(APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS)
- (4) IA NOS. 2181 -2182
(APPLNS. FOR QUASHMENT OF ORDINANCE DT. 15.9.2007 AND IMPLEADMENT)
- (5) IA NO. 2256
(APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS)
- (6) IA NO. 2331
(APPLN. FOR CHALLENGING THE NOTIFICATIONS DT. 16.6.2008 AND 12.8.2008)
- (7) IA NO. 2441
(APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS)

WITH

- W.P. (C) No. 144/2009 (NOT KNOWN)
- W.P. (C) No. 212/2008 (NOT KNOWN)
- W.P. (C) No. 266/2006 (NOT KNOWN)
- W.P. (C) No. 263/2006 (NOT KNOWN)
- W.P. (C) No. 229/2008 (NOT KNOWN)
- W.P. (C) No. 264/2006 (NOT KNOWN)
- W.P. (C) No. 450/2006 (NOT KNOWN)

W.P. (C) No. 464/2006 (NOT KNOWN)

W.P. (C) No. 470/2006 (NOT KNOWN)

W.P. (C) No. 569/2006 (NOT KNOWN)

W.P. (C) No. 563/2006 (NOT KNOWN)

W.P. (C) No. 610/2006 (NOT KNOWN)

Date : 15-02-2018 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Advocate (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Advocate (A.C.)

Mr. Sudipto Sircar, Adv.

Ms. Tulika Chikker, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Advocate (A.C.)

For Petitioner(S) Petitioner-In-Person

Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad, Adv.

Mr. Shree Pal Singh, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.

Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Anand, Adv.

Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Manu Shanker Mishra, Adv.

For Respondent(S) Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, ASG

Mr. A.K. Panda, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Kiran Suri, Sr. Adv.

Mr. D.L. Chidananda, Adv.

Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Wasim Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Prakash, Adv.
Mr. A.K. Kaul, Adv.
Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv.
Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Advocate
Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Advocate
Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv.

CPCB Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.

SDMC Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, Advocate
Mr. Ameet Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Giri, Adv.

Mr. Surya Kant, Advocate

DDA Mr. A. Saran, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vishnu B. Saharya, Adv.
Mr. Viresh B. Saharya, Adv.
For M/S Saharya And Co., Advocates

Delhi Mr. D.N. Goburdhun, Advocate
Ms. Pallavi Chopra, Adv.

Sai Kunj Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Devanshu Kumar Devesh, Advocate
Mr. Rohit Jain, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Jain, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Roshan, Adv.

Applicant-In-Person

Mr. Amitabh Chaturvedi, Adv.
Mr. Himesh Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Vipin Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Rohan Thawani, Adv.
Ms. Vandana Sehgal, Adv.
Mr. Hardeep Singh Anand, Adv.
Mr. Anand Daga, Adv.
Ms. Gunjan Ahuja, Adv.
Mr. Zal Andhyarujina, Adv.

Mr. Debojit Borkakati, Adv.
Mr. M. Balashivudu, Adv.
Mr. Baij Nath Patel, Adv.

Mr. Anil Grover, Adv.
Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.
Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tejaswi Kumar Pradhan, Adv.
Mr. Manoranjan Paikaray, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv.

Mr. S. Chandra Shekhar, Adv.

Mr. Prasanthi Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Kamakshi S. Mehlwal, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

Law Associates

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The learned *Amicus* has shown us five volumes of papers relating to the issue of validity of the Delhi Laws (Special Provisions) Act, 2006 and subsequent legislations. He says that these volumes were filed in the Court and were transferred to the Delhi High Court.

We have been informed by the Registry that Volume 1 has not been received from the Delhi High Court. The learned *Amicus* says that he will file a fresh copy of Volume 1.

The learned ASG says that he will collect the papers from the learned *Amicus* and file an affidavit justifying the Act within a period of three weeks from today. We make it clear that no further time will be granted.

Mr. A. Saran, learned senior counsel appearing for the Delhi Development Authority (DDA) has offered to make copies of these volumes for being given to the learned counsel who wish to refer to

them. Learned counsel, who would like to collect these volumes, may do so from the office of the Advocate-on-Record for the DDA.

For a proper management of the cases, we make it clear that we do not propose to hear any learned counsel on behalf of the petitioners. If there are any submissions that they wish to make, they may do so through the learned *Amicus*.

We will, of course, hear the Union of India, the DDA, the Delhi Government and the Corporations.

List for final hearing on 2nd April, 2018 at 2.00 pm.

Report No. 113 of the Monitoring Committee will also be taken for consideration on the next date of hearing.

(MEENAKSHI KOHLI)
COURT MASTER

(KAILASH CHANDER)
COURT MASTER