

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.3

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 4677/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

([TO BE TAKEN UP AT 2.00 P.M.]

(1) IA NO. 2768/2016 (APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS FILED BY A.C.)

(2) IA NOS. 98587 AND 98588/2020 (APPLNS. FOR INTERVENTION AND DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION, RANGPURI EXTENSION)

(3) IA NO. 97703 OF 2022 (APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS ON B/O PREM LATA BHATIA)

(4) I.A. NOS. 57693, 57699 AND 57701/2021 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT, SEEKING TO SET ASIDE ORDER DT. 17.03.2021 AND INTERIM RELIEF ON B/O JHANKAR BANQUETS)

APPLICATIONS TO BE HEARD BY THE MONITORING COMMITTEE CONSTITUTED VIDE ORDER DT. 07.05.2004

(1) IA NO. 115278/2020 (APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF SURESH PAPER PVT. LTD.)

(2) IA NOS. 60398 AND 60399/2019 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT AND DIRECTIONS ON B/O MOHAN LAL)

(3) IA NOS. 125628 AND 125635/2019 (APPLNS. FOR INTERVENTION AND DIRECTIONS ON B/O AJAY GUPTA)

(4) IA NOS. 125699 AND 125701/2019 (APPLNS. FOR INTERVENTION AND STAY ON B/O HARKRISHAN AND ORS.)

(5) IA NOS. 158567 AND 158572/2019 (APPLNS. FOR INTERVENTION AND STAY ON B/O PARMANAND KUMAR AND ORS.)

(6) IA NOS. 132390 AND 132395/2020 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT AND DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF MOHD. SAYEED QURESHI)

(7) IA NOS. 35420 AND 35421/2019 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT AND DIRECTIONS ON B/O JAWAHAR NANDRAJOG)

(8) IA NO. 158466/2021 (APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS ON B/O PRAVEEN KR. GUPTA)

I.AS. SEEKING DE-SEALING ON GROUNDS OF COMPLIANCE BEING DONE (PAYMENT FOR REGULARIZATION/STOPPAGE OF VIOLATING ACTIVITY)

(1) IA NOS. 188523 AND 188525/2019 (APPLNS. FOR DIRECTIONS AND PERMISSION TO FILE APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS ON BEHALF OF VARUN KANT AGGARWAL)

(2) IA NO. 158060/2018 (APPLNS. FOR DIRECTIONS ON B/O BIRD TRAVELS

(P) LTD. AND ANR.)

(3) IA NO. 144910 OF 2019 (APPLN. FOR DIRECTIONS ON B/O GNCT OF DELHI IN IA NO. 158060/2018)

(4) I.A. NOS. 130216 AND 130219/2019 (APPLNS. FOR INTERVENTION AND DIRECTIONS ON B/O RUBY BEDI)

(5) I.A. NOS. 15920, 15934, 15921 AND 15926/2022 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT, DIRECTIONS, EXEMPTION FROM FILING CERTIFIED COPIES AND STAY ON BEHALF OF SURENDER SINGH) IN W.P. (C) NO. 4677/1985 "ONLY" ARE LISTED & ONLY NAMES OF FOLLOWING ADVOCATES MAY BE TREATED TO HAVE BEEN SHOWN IN THE LIST :MR. S GURU KRISHNA KUMAR, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)MS. ANITHA SHENOY, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)MR. A.D.N. RAO, SR. ADVOCATE (A.C.)PETITIONER-IN-PERSONMR. G.S. MAKKER,MR. AMRISH KUMAR,MR. PRAVEEN SWARUP, MR. NISHIT AGRAWAL,MR. CHIRAG M. SHROFF,MR. SURYA KANT, MR. DEVANSHU KUMAR DEVESH, MS. UDITA SINGH,MR. SHISHIR PINAKI,MR. FIRDOUSE QUTB WANIMS. DEEPLAXMI S. MATWANKARMR. RAJIV MANGLA,MS. RAJNI OHRI LAL,MR. RAJESH KUMAR CHAURASIA, MR. BIMLESH KR. SINGH, ADVOCATESMS. PRATIBHA JAINMR. SHARDUL S. SHROFFM/S M.V. KINI AND ASST.MS. MEERA MATHURMS. SMITA MAANMR. ABINASH KR. MISHRA,MS. ROOH-E-HINA DUA, MS. SUPRIYA JUNEJAMS. ASTHA SHARMA, ADVOCATES)

Date : 13-09-2022 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA

Mr. S Guru Krishna Kumar, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)
Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)
Ms. Aarti Krupa Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)
Ms. Aarthi Krupa Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Namrata Caleb, Adv.

For Petitioner(s) Petitioner-in-person

For Respondent(s) Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Ld.ASG
Ms. Suhasini Sen, Adv.
Ms. Kirti Khangarot, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh K Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Rabello, Adv.
Ms. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Kohli, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.
Ms. Shagun Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, Adv.
Mr. G.S. Makker, AOR
Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Praveen Swarup, AOR
Mr. Prithviraj Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Gaurva Yadva, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Hari Sahteshwar, Adv.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Kaveeta Wadia, Adv.
Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR
Ms. Mantika Haryani, Adv.
Ms. Sruthi, Adv.

Ms. Garima Prashad, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nishit Agrawal, AOR
Ms. Kanishka Mittal, Adv.
Mr. S. Kapoor, Adv.

Mr. Chirag M. Shroff, AOR

Mr. Anuj Tyagi, AOR
Ms. Maitry Kakade, Adv.

Mr. Surya Kant, AOR

Mr. Syed Ahmad Naqvi, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, AOR

Mr. Devanshu Kumar Devesh, AOR
Mr. Upendra Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Harsh Singh Rawat, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav S Raghuvanshi, Adv.
Mr. Suprabh Kumar Roshan, Adv.
Ms. Snehal U. Kanzarkar, Adv.

Ms. Anjali Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Amit Anand, Adv.
Mr. Kuldeep Kaur, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhimanyu Bhandari, Adv.
Ms. Rooh-e-Hina Dua, AOR
Mr. Arav Pandit, Adv.

Ms. Prerna Mehta, AOR

Ms. Udit Singh, AOR

Mr. Shishir Pinaki, AOR

Mr. Firdouse Qutb Wanim AOR
Mr. Zaryab J Rizvi, Adv.

Mr. Shadaan Qadri, Adv.

Ms. Deeplaxmi S. Matwankar AOR

Dr. Sunil Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Kumar Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Mangla, AOR

Ms. Rajni Ohri Lal, AOR

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Chaurasia, AOR

Mr. Abinash Kr. Mishra, AOR

Ms. Smita Maan, AOR

Mr. Amit Sibal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Chitanya Safaya, Adv.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Shally Bhasin, Adv.

Mr. Virender Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Gautam Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Shardul S. Shroff, AOR

Mr. Shreyansh Rathi, Adv.

For M/s M.V. Kini and Associates

Ms. Pratibha Jain, AOR

Mr. Vivek Narayan Sharma, AOR.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Anand, AOR

Ms. Perna Singh, Adv.

Ms. Vanita Bhargava, AOR.

Mr. Abhimanyu J., Adv.

Mr. R.A. Thonpinao Thangal, Adv.

Mr. Abhimanyu Jhamba, Adv.

Ms. Thonpinao Thangal, Adv.

Mr. Vinod Sharma, AOR

Dr. Surender Singh Hooda, AOR

M/S. Parekh & Co., AOR

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR

Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, AOR

Mr. Satyendra Kumar, AOR

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, AOR
Mr. Neeraj Kumar Gupta, AOR
Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, AOR
Mr. Suresh Chandra Tripathy, AOR
Mr. Devendra Singh, AOR
Mr. Avinash Kr. Lakhanpal, AOR
Mrs. Amita Gupta, AOR
Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, AOR
Ms. Binu Tamta, AOR
Mr. T.L. Garg, AOR
Mr. Nikilesh Ramachandran, AOR
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, AOR
Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, AOR
Mr. Ashwani Kumar, AOR
Mr. C. Mohan Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mohit Kr. Shamra, Adv.
Mr. Lokesh Kr. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Kumar Tomar, AOR
Mr. Tarun Johri, AOR
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR
Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, AOR
Mr. Gunnam Venkateswara Rao, AOR
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR
Ms. Manju Jetley, AOR
Mr. Sudhir Naagar, AOR
Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Abhimanyu Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Varsha Rana, Adv.

Ms. Shweta Rajput, Adv.
Ms. Renu Phugal Gupta, Adv.
Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, AOR
Mr. Shiv Prakash Pandey, AOR
Mr. Satish Aggarwal, AOR
Mrs. Rekha Pandey, AOR
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, AOR
Mrs. Rachana Joshi Issar, AOR
Mr. Ajit Sharma, AOR
Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, AOR
Mr. V. K. Verma, AOR
Mr. Md. Farman, AOR
Ms. Anu Gupta, AOR
Mr. Tejaswi Kumar Pradhan, AOR
Mr. Pravir Choudhary, AOR
Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, AOR
Ms. Uttara Babbar, AOR
Mr. Pranaya Kumar Mohapatra, AOR
Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, AOR
Mr. Abhay Kumar, AOR
Ms. Rukhsana Choudhury, AOR
Mr. Harsh V. Surana, AOR
Mr. Raj Kamal, AOR
Mr. Himinder Lal, AOR
Ms. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyaya, AOR
Mr. Haresh Raichura, AOR
Mr. Arvind Gupta, AOR

Mr. Ambhoj Kumar Sinha, AOR

Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, AOR

Ms. Neha Sharma, AOR

Mr. Gagan Gupta, AOR

Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Arpit Parkash Adv.

Mr. Vikalp Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Sucharu Garg, Adv.

Mr. Shyam D Nandan, AOR

Ms. Anuradha Mutatkar, AOR

Ms. Kaveeta Wadia, AOR

Mr. R.C. Kohli, AOR

Ms. Srishti Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Singh, AOR

Mr. Jasmeet Singh, AOR

Mr. Prithu Garg, AOR

Ms. Mayuri Raghuvanshi, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, AOR

Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, AOR

Mr. Umesh Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Alok Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Onkar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Lav Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Yoginder Handoo, AOR

Mr. Ashwin Kataria, Adv.

Mr. D S Mehra, AOR

Mr. T. Mahipal, AOR

Mrs. Gargi Khanna, AOR

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR

M/S. Karanjawala & Co., AOR

Ms. Akriti Chaubey , AOR

Mr. Ajay Pal, AOR

Ms. Rakhi Ray, AOR

Mr. Prakash Ranjan Nayak, AOR

Mr. Shantanu Krishna, AOR

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Arvind S. Avhad, AOR

Mr. K.V. Mohan, AOR

Mr. Rahul Narayan, AOR

Ms. B. Vijayalakshmi Menon, AOR

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, AOR

Mr. Alok Gupta, AOR

Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, AOR

Ms. Shagun Matta, AOR

Mr. Shekhar Prit Jha, AOR

M/S. Unuc Legal Llp, AOR

Ms. Shobha Gupta, AOR

Mr. Rajat Joseph, AOR

Ms. Vanita Bhargava, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Bhargava, Adv.
for M/s. Khaitan & Co, AOR

Mr. Ravinder Kumar Yadav, AOR

Mr. Amarjit Singh Bedi, AOR

Mr. Dinesh Chandra Pandey, AOR

Ms. Meery S Methew, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Rajora, Adv.

Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv.

Ms. Kavita M.S. Sebbi, Adv.

Ms. Roxna S Mathew, Adv.

Mr. T.V. George, AOR

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, AOR

Mr. Smarhar Singh, AOR
Ms. Shweta Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Pravin Kr. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Jai Krishna Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anand Mishra, AOR

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Mishra, AOR

Ms. Vimal Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Sinha, AOR

Mr. Devashish Bharuka, AOR

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Krishnanand Pandeya, AOR

Mr. Saurabh Mishra, AOR
Mr. Aditya Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Aashana Bhatia, Adv.
Mr. Nirbhay Shankar Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Priya Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Anand, AOR

Mr. Rajivkumar, AOR

Mr. Shekhar G Devasa, Adv.
Mr. Manish Tiwari, Adv.
Mr. Shashi Bhushan Nagar, Adv.
Ms. Thashmitha Muthanna Adv.
Mr. Prashanth Dixit, Adv.
For M/S. Devasa & Co., AOR

Mr. Karunakar Mahalik, AOR

Mr. Anil Grover, Sr. Adv. AAG
Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR

Mr. M.C. Dhingra, AOR

Ms. S. Janani, AOR

Mr. Somnath Mukherjee, AOR

Mr. M. M. Kashyap, AOR

Mr. A. Raghunath, AOR

Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, AOR

M/S. Mitter & Mitter Co., AOR

Mr. Vishnu Sharma, AOR

Mr. Binay Kumar Das, AOR

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR

Mr. Shobhit Jain, Adv.

Mr. Aakash Nandolia, Adv.

Ms. Sagun Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Mishra, AOR

Mr. Dhananjay Garg, AOR

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR

Mr. Kiran Kumar Patra, AOR

Ms. Rajani Ohri Lal, AOR

Ms. Madhu Sikri, AOR

Mr. Ish Karan Singh Chhabra, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Singh Yadav, Adv.

Mrs. Rani Chhabra, AOR

Mr. Abhas Kumar, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Goel, AOR

Mr. Abhay Prakash Sahay AAG

Mr. Sunny Choudhary AOR

Ms. Kiran Pandey Adv.

Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Yadav, Adv.

Ms. Veenba Bansal, Adv.

Mr. A.P. Dhamija, Adv.

Mr. J.P. Singh, Adv.

Mrs. Rashmi Singhanian, AOR

Mr. Siddhesh Kotwal, Adv.

Mr. Ana Upadhyay, Adv.

Ms. Manya Hasija, Adv.
Mr. Akash Singh, Adv.
Mr. Nihar Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR

Mr. Nitin Mishra, AOR

Mr. Abhimanyu Bhandari, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Singhvi, Adv.
Ms. Supriya Jeneja, AOR

Mr. Rohan Thawani ,Adv.
Ms Pooja Dhar, Adv.
Mr Hardeep Singh Anand, Adv.
Mr. Pratul Pratap Singh,Adv.
Mr. Mantavya Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

IA NOS. 35420 AND 35421/2019 (APPLNS. FOR IMPLEADMENT
AND DIRECTIONS ON B/O JAWAHAR NANDRAJOG)

Learned counsel for the applicant(s) seek to
withdraw these application.

The applications are dismissed as withdrawn.

.....

The concerns in the present proceedings arise from rampant unauthorized and illegal use of residential areas for commercial and industrial purposes. The ground situation brought it to such an adverse level that this Court had little option but to step in directly into this area to ensure that the residential areas of Delhi city are not destroyed. It is towards this objective that a Monitoring Committee was appointed to end the unauthorized and illegal industrial activity in residential and non-conforming areas. The order dated 07.05.2004

appointed a Monitoring Committee for this purpose consisting of officers of different authorities.

This Court rightly found that what was happening could not have so happened without both passive and active role of the concerned officers and thus, in order to oversee the sealing of offending premises in terms of the letter and spirit of the directions issued by this Court, another Monitoring Committee was appointed comprising of Mr. K.J. Rao, former Advisor to the Election Commission, Mr. Bhure Lal, Chairman of EPCA and Major General (Retd.) Som Jhingan.

An alternative remedy instead of going before the appellant Tribunal was provided so that this Monitoring Committee could be approached directly and the decisions of the Monitoring Committee would lie only to this Court.

The litigation in this process has been going on but considering the legal concerns to be addressed by this Court, the pendency of the applications increased as sufficient time was not found to address the issues. The matter is largely factual as even after the applications are filed for de-sealing, the two authorities have expressed different concerns. The result is that this Court becomes the first judicial Court of fact finding over the report of the Committees, not an advisable scenario as we have

found.

It is in these circumstances that on the last date of hearing on 24.08.2022, this Court explored the aspect of setting up of an independent judicial body to look into the concerns of the applicants to be presided over by retired Judges. We have opined that once the Judicial Committee so appointed directs de-sealing or rejects the same, the application would lie to this Court and we would be adopting the SLP approach. This would facilitate a quick disposal of almost 150 applications pending which continue to rise and it is extremely essential that people who are compliant get the remedy at the earliest.

Such a Judicial Committee is necessary to be empowered to analyze all aspects of the matter, i.e., what is the nature of allotment, whether there is any change of the policy of that allotment, what according to the norms prevalent is permissible and what are the nature of violation and in view thereof pass necessary orders. The power to direct compounding on payment of charges, if compliance is possible under existing norms, is also an aspect which must vest with the Committee.

In pursuance to the aforesaid observations, the learned Amicus Curiae has placed before us a note for purposes of constitution of the proposed Judicial

Committee. We have perused the said note and thus are passing the directions hereinafter keeping in mind the said note and the various proceedings held from time to time -

We appoint a Judicial Committee of two hon'ble Judges: 1) Justice Pradeep Nandrajog, retired Chief Justice of the Bombay High Court and 2) Justice G.S. Sistani, retired Judge of the Delhi High Court who would take a call on all these applications keeping the aforesaid conspectus in mind.

The Judicial Committee will have jurisdiction to hear a challenge to these orders, decisions and recommendations of both the Monitoring Committees constituted by this Court vide orders dated 24.03.2006 and 07.05.2004 resulting in action by the Municipal Corporations and Regulatory Committees in respect thereof. The subject matters are as under:

- "i) Sealing and de-sealing of properties;
- ii) Regularization and/or levy of penalties or conversion charges;
- iii) Demolition of unauthorized construction; and
- (iv) Directing the removal of encroachment."

Any person aggrieved by such an order decision or recommendation as already set out above

after scrutiny by the Judicial Committee would have a remedy only by filing an application in the present proceedings.

In order to facilitate the disposal of these applications, all pending applications before this Court, challenging the orders passed by the Monitoring Committee in respect of these issues, shall stand referred to the Judicial Committee for consideration and passing orders. A list of these applications have been annexed to the note as Document No. 1. The applications listed today also would stand transferred to the Committee and if some applications have been missed out, the applicants may approach the learned Amicus Curiae who would inform the Judicial Committee accordingly.

There are some reservations expressed by the Amicus Curiae about certain applications filed by associations/federations. We may note that the remedy for individual cases cannot lie by the associations/federations moving these applications as the factual scenario may vary.

However, if there is a single principle which has to be settled, then the application to that extent can be considered on behalf of these associations/federations by the Judicial committee and for individual relief, the members of the associations/federations will have to move the

Committee thereafter.

We would note that there are certain set of applications which are not liable to be transferred which are as under :

- i) challenge to the Master Plan which is awaiting of the new Master Plan;
- ii) the "innovative" ordinances issued from time to time which continue to perpetuate what are *prima facie* illegalities;
- iii) applications concerning the marble markets which have already been directed to await the decision to the challenge to the Master Plan, and
- iv) challenge to the constitution of the Monitoring Committee and the special task force.

We may note that from the order dated 14.08.2020, the review applications have been filed which will take their own course.

We may also note in view of the submissions of the learned ASG based on the intimation received by her that insofar as the aspect of Master Plan is concerned, the cut-off dates have been provided as under:

- i) placing of MPD-2041 before the Authority- First week of December, 2022,
- ii) Modifications in MPD-2041 document as per decision of Authority, if any- last week of December, 2022, and

iii) Letter to be sent to Ministry of Housing and Urban Development for final approval and notification of MPD-2041- 15th January, 2023.

Even though the dates given are tentative, we expect these dates to be strictly adhered to as the matter cannot remain in *limbo* for ad infinitum period of time. We are sure that final Master Plan would be published on or before 30.04.2023.

The Committee will have all the necessary powers to perform the necessary functions but in order to further facilitate the performance of their tasks, we clarify that *inter alia* they would also have the following powers :

- i) To summon and enforce the attendance of any person and examine him;
- (ii) To require the discovery and production of any documents, and
- (iii) To requisition any public record or copy thereof from any office.

It will be open to the Committee to continue the proceedings for adjudication of the applications in accordance with law and after giving opportunity to the parties and needless to say that the Committee will endeavour to deal with the applications as expeditiously as feasible.

We may note that the proceedings before the Committee can be addressed by either the parties or

their counsels and the Monitoring Committees will make their own arrangement to assist the Judicial Committee.

As to what is the prerequisite of approaching the Committee, we may only say that such of the persons who have deposited the charges with the Monitoring Committee would not be required to pay another set of charges but such of them who have not paid would be required to pay the charges with the Committee *pari materia* to what others have paid to the Committee. We are saying so at the moment because there are adequate funds available for working of the Judicial Committee as deposited with the Monitoring Committee which funds will be made available for the benefit of the Judicial Committee. The Judicial Committee will fix its own remuneration which can be drawn from the amount lying with the Monitoring Committee as also for any other expenses relatable to the sitting of the Judicial Committee.

Insofar as the making arrangements for the sitting, for infrastructure and personnel required for the working of the Judicial Committee are concerned, learned ASG submits that the Municipal Corporation of Delhi would make necessary arrangements at its costs.

We make it clear that insofar as the directions for de-sealing are concerned, the

directions of the Judicial Committee shall be implemented forthwith even if there are reservations of the Monitoring Committee which can of course file its application, as would be the case, on rejection of the applications of the private parties by the Judicial Committee.

We hope this would simplify the process for consideration of the applications at an early date leaving only a narrow area of consideration by this Court.

All necessary arrangement should be made to facilitate the commencement of the proceedings before the Judicial Committee within a period of two weeks from today.

We at the end note the request made in application, IA No. 97703/2022 to the effect that the applicant is desirous of forthwith complying with whatever the authorities feel are the violations and remove the unauthorized construction itself as pointed out by them. The de-sealing of the third floor for demolition of the premises would be facilitated for the said purpose. The needful be done within seven days and on compliance of the conditions, necessary proceedings will be initiated before the Judicial Committee making it clear that such an exercise has to be time bound and cannot be an exercise over an indefinite period of time. We

are given to understand that the exercise of demolition is to be completed within a period of time of four to eight weeks.

We make it clear that such of the persons who have moved the applications, no coercive action will be taken till the Judicial Committee applies its mind.

The Amicus Curiae will provide assistance to the Judicial Committee, as may be required.

List in the month of January, 2023.

[CHARANJEET KAUR]
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

[POONAM VAID]
COURT MASTER (NSH)