

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Miscellaneous Application No. 1816/2025 in CrI.A. No. 3177/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 25-07-2025
in CrI.A. No. No. 3177/2025 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

SUKDEB SAHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 09-01-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) : By Courts Motion, AOR

Mr. Joydeb B Saha, Adv.
M/s V. Maheshwari & Co., AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Rajkumar Bhaskar Thakare, A.S.G.
Mr. Vivek Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Khare, Adv.
Mr. Tanmay Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Padmesh Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.
Ms. Harshita Choubey, Adv.
Mr. Sudarshan Lamba, AOR

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv.
Ms. Vanshika Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sravan Kumar Karanam, AOR

Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, AOR
Mr. Dhuli Gopi Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Akshay Singh, Adv.

Ms. Sanjana Jain, Adv.

Mr. Pulkit Agarwal, AOR

Mr. M Srinivas R Rao, Adv.

Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P, AOR

Mr. Sarath S Janardanan, Adv.

Mr. Saswat Adhyapak, Adv.

Ms. Namita Kumari, Adv.

Mr. Raja Thakare, A.S.G.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Rohit Khare, Adv.

Mr. Padmesh Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Meerusagar Samantary, Adv.

Mr. Tanmey Mehta, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Anand Varma, AOR

Mr. Ayush Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Apoorva Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Kunal Mimani, AOR

Mr. Prashant Alai, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Rana, Adv.

Ms. Disha Singh, AOR

Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.

Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Ramdurg, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR

Mr. Bishwajit Dubey, A.A.G.

Mr. Vinayak Sharma, standing Counsel, Adv.

Mr. Ravinder Kumar Yadav, AOR

Mr. Akshit Awasthi, Adv.

Mr. Yashvardhan Shah, Adv.

Mr. Surjendu Sankar Das, AOR

Ms. Yoovika Toor, Adv.

Mr. Venkat Mani Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
Mr. Shreesh Pathak, Adv.

Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR
Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv.
Mr. Aman Dev Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Gaj Singh, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.
Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.
Ms. Yanmi Phazang, Adv.

Mr. Aravindh S., AOR
Ms. Anika Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Jyotika Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Mohd Irshad, A.A.G.
Mr. Siddhant Sharma, AOR

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR
Mr. Krishna Rastogi, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Aryan Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Aakash Thakur, Adv.

Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Atrey, AOR
Dr. Abhishek Atrey, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Bahuguna, Adv.
Ms. Ambika Atrey, Adv.
Mr. Navneet Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR
Mr. Deepayan Dutta, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG
Ms. Nidhi Jaiswal, AOR
Ms. Sonali Gaur, Adv.

Mr. Azmat H. Amanullah, AOR
Ms. Rebecca Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Adarsh Dubey, Adv.
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar, AG
Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR
Mr. T.K. Nayak, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Miscellaneous Application No. 1816 of 2025 is registered on an Office Report for Directions dated 17th October, 2025 highlighting that Union of India as well as the States and Union Territories have not filed compliance reports in terms of the directions issued by this Court *vide* judgment dated 25th July, 2025.

This Court observed in order dated 27th October, 2025 that since the States and Union Territories have not been impleaded as party-respondents, the compliance part could not be reported to this Court. Hence, the Registry was directed to implead all the States and Union Territories as respondents through their Chief Secretaries and notices to be issued to all of them with the soft copy of the judgment dated 25th July,

2025 and the modification order dated 18th August, 2025. The matter was directed to be listed in the month of January, 2026.

Today, when the matter came up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner alleged that the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) has not conducted proper investigation in pursuance of the direction given by this Court in judgment dated 25th July, 2025.

Issue notice to respondent No. 8-CBI.

Mr. Rajkumar Bhaskar Thakare, learned ASG submits that he will file an affidavit/status report in response to the assertions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The Registry has impleaded all the States and Union Territories as party-respondents in compliance of order dated 27th October, 2025. Some of the States/Union Territories have also filed compliance affidavits in response to the judgment dated 25th July, 2025. However, the remaining States/Union Territories who have not yet submitted their responses, are directed to file their respective compliance affidavits on or before 31st January, 2026 positively. We make it clear that in case the response/compliance affidavits are not filed by the

next date of listing, this Court would be compelled to direct coercive action against the responsible officers.

We request Ms. Nidhi Jaiswal, Advocate to act as *Amicus Curiae* and prepare a summary of the compliance affidavits filed by the respective States/Union Territories and present the same for perusal of the Court by the next date.

List on 17th February, 2026.

(SONIA BHASIN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR