

?
ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.568 OF 2012

PROMILLA SHANKER Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UOI & ANR. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions and office report)

With Writ Petition (Crl.) No.1 of 2013
(With office report)

Writ Petition (C) No.148 of 2013
(With office report)

S.L.P. (Crl.) No.1953 of 2013
(With appln.(s) for permission to appear and argue in-person,
permission to bring additional facts and documents on record
and office report)

Writ Petition (C) No.22 of 2013
(With appln.(s) for permission to appear and argue in-person
office report)

Writ Petition (C) No.565 of 2012
(With appln.(s) for directions, permission to appear and
argue in-person and office report)

Date: 05/07/2013 These Matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Pareena Swarup,Adv.

In WP 568/2012: Mr. Virag Gupta,Adv.
Mr. Vikash Chandra,Adv.
Mr. Prashant Chaudhary,Adv.
Mr. Kundan Lal,Adv.

In WP 1/2013: Mr. R.P. Gupta,Adv.

In WP 22/2013: In-person

In SLP 1953/2013: In-person

...2/-

- 2 -

In WP 148/2013: Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan,Adv.
Ms. Aarthi Rajan,Adv.
Ms. Nisha Bagchi,Adv.
Ms. Nina Laud,Adv.
Mr. Vivek Jain,Adv.
Ms. Radhika Gautam,Adv.
Ms. Neeha Nagpal,Adv.
Mr. Nishant Kumar,Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala,Adv.

In WP 565/2012: In-person

For Respondent(s) Mr. Siddharth Luthra, ASG.
For Union of India: Mr. Mukul Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Geeta Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Anjali Chauhan, Adv.
Ms. Charul Sarin, Adv.
Ms. Supriya Juneja, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Garg, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, Adv.
Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For B.C.I.: Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Aviral Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Pankhuri Bhardwaj, Adv.

For Odisha: Ms. Kirti Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Apurva Upmanyu, Adv.

For Manipur: Mr. KH. Nobin Singh, Adv.

For Andhra Pradesh: Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv.
Mr. B. Debojit, Adv.

For NCW: Ms. Jyotika Kalra, Adv.
Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. Tamim Qadri, Adv.
Mr. Yatin Bhushan, Adv.
Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

For Sikkim: Mr. A. Mariarputham, AG.
Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
Ms. Movita, Adv.
for M/s. Arputham, Aruna & Co., Adv.
...3/-

- 3 -

For Himachal Pradesh: Mr. Ajay Marwah, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

For West Bengal: Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, Adv.
Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, Adv.
Ms. Soumi Kundu, Adv.

For Nagaland: Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

For J&K: Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Insha Mir, Adv.

For Mizoram: Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.
Mr. T.G.N. Nair, Adv.

For Assam: Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.
Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.
for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.

For Uttar Pradesh: Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Malik, Adv.
Mr. Abhish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, Adv.
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

For Chhattisgarh: Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, Adv.

For Haryana: Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG.
Ms. Vivekta Singh, Adv.
Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

For Punjab: Mr. Ajay Bansal, AAG.
Mr. Parduman Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Yadav, Adv.

For Rajasthan: Dr. Manish Singhvi, AAG.
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

...4/-

- 4 -

For Resp. No.5 in WP 565/2012: Mr. Anand Grover, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mihir Samson, Adv.
Ms. Gayatri Sharma, Adv.
for M/s. Lawyers' Knit & Co., Adv.

For Maharashtra: Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv.
Ms. Anita Bafna, Adv.

Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. Tamim Qadri, Adv.
Mr. L.R. Singh, Adv.

For Madhya Pradesh: Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.
Ms. Archi Agnihotri, Adv.

For Meghalaya: Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. S. Bhowmick, Adv.
Mr. R.P. Yadav, Adv.

Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

For Bihar: Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

For Tripura: Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ritu Raj Biswas, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel and party in-person
the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. No.2/2013 in Writ Petition (C) No.568 of 2012 is dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty to the petitioner to make a proper application for amendment of the writ petition itself. As far as the five matters, which have been clubbed together for consideration, are concerned, in some of the said matters, service of notice has not been completed and in some of the other matters, pleadings have not yet been completed. Accordingly, let all these five matters stand over for a period of six weeks to enable service of notice to be completed. Time for filing affidavits in opposition is extended by four weeks. Rejoinder

...5/-

- 5 -

affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List these matters immediately after the service of notice and the pleadings are complete.

[T.I. Rajput]
Deputy Registrar

[Juginder Kaur]
Assistant Registrar