



ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.2

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 11971/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-11-2022 in BA No. 8937/2022 passed by the High Court Of Jharkhand At Ranchi)

POOJA SINGHAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT

Respondent(s)

Date : 10-02-2023 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Amar Gahlot, Adv.  
Mr. Rajat Mittal, AOR  
Ms. Anshi Bhatia, Adv.  
Mr. Sanyam Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Sudipto Sarkar, Adv.  
Mr. Asif Ahmed, Adv.  
Mr. Akshat Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Karanvir Gogia, Adv.  
Mr. Kushagra Raghuvanshi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR  
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.  
Mr. Rajan Kumar Choursia, Adv.  
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.  
Ms. Sairica Raju, Adv.  
Mr. Ashutosh Ghade, Adv.  
Mr. Zoheb Hussain, Adv.  
Mr. Parsanjit Sarkar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

IA No. 22889/2023- for extension of bail

Heard learned counsel for parties and perused the material placed before us.

We are inclined to grant interim bail to the petitioner for the purposes of attending to her daughter for a period of two months from the date of the release.

We however, specifically direct the petitioner to stay away from any witness or make any endeavour to put pressure on the witnesses as has been alleged on page 7 in paragraph 4.11 of the status report, failing which, we will be inclined to cancel the interim bail.

The applicant/petitioner will not visit Jharkhand except for the date of hearing.

The application stands disposed of.

(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)  
COURT MASTER

(POONAM VAID)  
COURT MASTER