

SECTION III-A
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6636, 3629, 6639 AND 6642 OF 2011
WITH
CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2744, 2745 AND 2746-2747 OF 2012

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX, BANGALOREAPPELLANT

VERSUS

M/S UNITED BREWERIES LTD.RESPONDENT

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING MATTER

The office report is prepared as per circular No. F. 41/ Jul./ 2008 dated 17th December, 2008.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6636 AND 6642 OF 2012

- A) There are two respondents and served through counsel.
- B) Service is complete.
- C) No application for substitution is pending.
- D) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but counsel for the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Counsel for the Respondent does not desire to lodge Statement of Case in the appeal.
- E) Not applicable.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6639 AND 3629 OF 2011

- A) There is sole respondent and is represented through counsel.
- B) Service is complete.
- C) No application for substitution is pending.
- D) Counsel for the both the parties have not filed Statement of Case so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Counsel for both the parties does not desire to lodge Statement of Case in the appeal.
- E) Not applicable.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2744 OF 2012

- A) There is sole respondent and is represented through counsel.
- B) Service is complete.
- C) No application for substitution is pending.

D) Counsel for both the parties have not filed Statement of Case so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Counsel for both the parties do not desire to lodge Statement of Case in the appeal.

E) Not applicable.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2745 OF 2012

A) There is sole respondent and is represented through counsel.

B) Service is complete.

C) No application for substitution is pending.

D) Counsel for the Appellant has filed Statement of Case but counsel for the Respondent has not filed Statement of Case within stipulated time so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Counsel for the Respondent does not desire to lodge Statement of Case in the appeal.

E) Not applicable.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2746 - 2747 OF 2012

A) There is sole respondent and served at SLP stage but no one has entered appearance so far.

B) Service is complete.

C) No application for substitution is pending.

D) Counsel for both the parties have not filed Statement of Case so far, in the matter as per Amended New Rule, 2013 vide order XIX, Rule 32(1)(2), it shall be presumed that the Counsel for both the parties do not desire to lodge Statement of Case in the appeal.

E) Not applicable.

The Office Report is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court for orders.

DATED THIS THE 10TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

Mr. B. V. Balram Das, Advocate

Mr. A. Raghunath, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR