

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 71 OF 2012

RUPALI DEVI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and office report)
(For Final Disposal)

Date: 06/05/2014 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL

For Appellant(s) Mr. Vikrant Singh Bais, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Mridula Ray Bharadwaj, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ameet Singh, Adv.
Ms. Malvika Dwivedi, Adv.
Ms. Alka Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Anuvrat Sharma ,Adv

Mr. Praveen Chaturvedi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard the appeal in Open Court and none of the counsel appearing for the parties has pointed out that the matter has been referred to the Larger Bench(three Judges) to give an authoritative pronouncement on the issue of jurisdiction of the criminal Court where the cause of action has partly or fully arisen or where only the effect/consequence of the cause of action suffered. Though after hearing learned counsel for the parties, we had decided to dismiss the appeal but before the dismissal order could be signed, we perused the record and realised that the matter had been referred by the two Judges Bench to three Judges Bench to lay down the law on the issue. In such a fact situation, it is desirable to re-hear the matter.

List this appeal for fresh hearing after re-opening before the Bench of three Judges.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)
Court Master

(M.S. NEGI)
Assistant Registrar