

ITEM NO.23

COURT NO.3

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 5695/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 22/06/2010 in MCRC No. 1275/2006, MCRC No. 1275/2006 passed by the High Court Of Judicature of Madhya Pradesh At Jabalpur)

AFSAR ALI KHAN & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for permission for withdrawal of amount and permission to file additional documents and office report)

Date : 29/08/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Raj Kishore Chhoushary, Adv.
Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.
Mr. Surya Kamal Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Neeru Sharma, Adv.
MS. Sadaf Rehman, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Vijay Lakshmi, adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Das, Adv.
Mr. P.Gaur, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

One of the question that arises for
consideration in this petition is whether the complaint
filed by the respondent No. 2-wife at Chhindwara was
maintainable when the petitioner-husband and his
relatives- petitioners in this petition are alleged to

have caused cruelty and harassment to her while she lived with them at Nagpur. The question of jurisdiction in such factual situations where wife complains of cruelty and dowry harassment has been referred by us to a larger Bench in terms of our order dated 16.01.2014 passed in Criminal Appeal No. 71 of 2012 Rupali Devi Vs. State of U.P. and Ors. a copy where of has been placed by learned counsel for the petitioner. In the circumstances therefore we refer this petition also to a larger Bench to be listed along with Rupali Devi's case supra.

(SHASHI SAREEN)
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)
COURT MASTER