

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CrI. M.P.No. 20925-20926 of 2011  
IN  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 995-996 OF 2011

LAKSHMANA &amp; ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for bail and office report)

Date: 20/04/2012 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA  
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Appellant(s) Mr. V. Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Prasanth P., Adv.  
Mr. V. Vasudevan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Somiran Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

This is an application listed before me as a Chamber Judge seeking an Order to release the appellant on bail.

However, it is difficult to comprehend as to which are the cases wherein bail applications should be considered by the Chamber Judge and which are those wherein the bail application should be considered by the Regular Bench, as in my experience, I have noticed that bail applications are regularly listed before the Regular Bench even in matters where the appeal is pending.

This is one of the usual cases, wherein the appellant has been convicted under Section 304(1) read with Section 149 IPC and Section 324 read with Section 149 IPC.

Whether the applicant/appellant should be granted bail, since he has already undergone a period of one year of sentence, in my view, is fit to be considered by the Regular Bench, and not by the Chamber Judge.

Further, the counsel submitted that the appellant's bail application has never been considered and rejected earlier.

Hence, the application be listed along with the appeal before the Regular Bench for consideration before summer

vacation.

(Jayant Kumar Arora)  
Sr. P.A.

(Indu Satija)  
Court Master