



2017 INSC 1235

REPORTABLE

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO 494 OF 2012

JUSTICE K S PUTTASWAMY (RETD) AND ANR PETITIONERS

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... RESPONDENTS

WITH T C (C) NO 151 OF 2013

T C (C) NO 152 OF 2013

W P (C) NO 833 OF 2013

W P (C) NO 829 OF 2013

T P (C) NO 1797 OF 2013

W P (C) NO 932 OF 2013

T P (C) NO 1796 OF 2013

CONT P (C) NO 144 OF 2014

T P (C) NO 313 OF 2014

T P (C) NO 312 OF 2014

SLP (CRL) NO 2524 OF 2014

W P (C) NO 37 OF 2015

W P (C) NO 220 OF 2015

CONT P (C) NO 674 OF 2015

T P (C) NO 921 OF 2015

CONT P (C) NO 470 OF 2015

CONT P (C) NO 444 OF 2016

CONT P (C) NO 608 OF 2016

W P (C) NO 797 OF 2016

CONT P (C) NO 844 OF 2017

W P (C) NO 342 OF 2017

W P (C) NO 372 OF 2017

W P (C) NO 1058 OF 2017

W P (C) NO 966 OF 2017

W P (C) NO 1014 OF 2017

W P (C) NO 1002 OF 2017

AND

WITH W P (C) NO 1056 OF 2017

ORDERS**Dr D Y CHANDRACHUD, J**

1 We have heard submissions on interim relief. The prayer for interim relief at this stage is essentially based on the earlier orders of this Court dated 23 September 2013, 24 March 2014, 16 March 2015, 11 August 2015 and 15 October 2015. The interim directions dated 15 October 2015 were issued by a Constitution Bench. The primary submission of the petitioners is that in terms of the interim order of the Constitution Bench: (i) Aadhaar Cards could permissibly be utilized only for six schemes (two of them provided for in the order dated 11 August 2015 and four in the order dated 15 October 2015); (ii) the Union Government was directed to strictly follow the earlier orders of this Court commencing from 23 September 2013; and (iii) the Aadhaar card scheme was to be purely voluntary and could not be made mandatory until the matter is finally decided by this Court.

2 Mr Shyam Divan, learned senior counsel urged that since the interim order dated 15 March 2015 governs the field it was the obligation of the Union government to seek a variation of the interim directions after the enactment of the Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits and

Services) Act, 2016 before making it mandatory to uplink or provide details of the Unique Identification Number/Aadhaar card for all purposes.

3 Mr Gopal Subramaniam, learned senior counsel while advancing the same submission urged that the issue involves the paramountcy of the Court and of the judicial process. In the submission of the learned counsel, the exercise of the judicial power in the form of the interim order dated 15 October 2015 (and the earlier orders) was to insulate citizens against any form of compulsion, this being in aid of protecting their fundamental rights.

4 Mr Arvind Datar, Mr KTS Tulsi, Mr Anand Grover, Mr KV Viswanathan, Ms Minakshi Arora and Mr Sanjay Hegde, among other learned counsel urged submissions on various facets in support of the prayer for interim relief.

5 On the other hand, Mr K K Venugopal, the learned Attorney General for India urges that the interim directions were issued in the absence of a legislative framework. After Parliament has enacted the Aadhaar Act, 2016 (which came into force on 12 July 2016) the interim orders would, in his submission, not pose any impediment to enforcing the provisions of the law, duly enacted. Moreover, the reasonableness of each notification would have to be justified by the department concerned. The learned Attorney General has been supported in his submissions

by Mr Aryama Sundaram, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of UIDAI and Mr Rakesh Dwivedi, learned senior counsel.

6 Having due regard to the importance of the issues which have been raised in the case, which has led to the judgment of nine Judges of this Court on 24 August 2017¹, we are of the considered view that the resolution of the issues raised before the Court should proceed at the earliest, after the Court reassembles in January 2018. This will ensure clarity for citizens on the one hand and for the Union and the state governments and the instrumentalities on the other hand.

7 Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as the learned Attorney General for India and all the other counsel supporting his submissions have agreed to the suggestion of the Court that the final hearing of the case commence on 17 January 2018. We direct accordingly.

8 The matter which needs consideration in the meantime is the interim arrangement which should govern the field.

9 The learned Attorney General for India has stated that :

1 (2017) 10 SCC 1

(i) The Union government has extended the deadline for Aadhaar linkage with all schemes of its Ministries/Departments until 31 March 2018;

(ii) As far as Aadhaar linkage with bank accounts is concerned, for existing bank accounts, the last date for the completion of the process may be extended to 31 March 2018;

(iii) In so far as new bank accounts are concerned, while the last date for completing the process of Aadhaar linking may be extended until 31 March 2018, persons desirous to open new accounts shall produce proof to the bank of an application having been submitted for obtaining an Aadhaar card together with the application number which shall be supplied to the account opening bank; and

(iv) As regards Aadhaar based E-KYC for mobile phone subscribers, as held by a Bench of two learned Judges of this Court by its order dated 6 February 2017 in **Lokniti Foundation v Union of India and Another**², the process of completing the E-KYC process is to be completed by 6 February 2018. The Union government informs the Court, that consistent with the extension of the deadline to 31 March 2018 in other cases, this Court may consider passing appropriate orders.

10 In terms of (i) and (ii) above, we accept the statement of the learned Attorney General for India and order accordingly.

2 (2017) 7 SCC 155

11 In terms of (iii) above, subject to the submission of the details in regard to the filing of an application for an Aadhaar card and the furnishing of the application number to the account opening bank, we likewise extend the last date for the completion of the process of Aadhaar linking of new bank accounts to 31 March 2018.

12 In terms of (iv) above we extend the date for the completion of the E-KYC process in respect of mobile phone subscribers until 31 March 2018.

13 Consistent with the above directions, we also direct that the extension of the last date for Aadhar linkage to 31 March 2018 shall apply, besides the schemes of the Ministries/Departments of the Union government to all state governments in similar terms. As a consequence of the extension of the deadline to 31 March 2018, it is ordered accordingly.

14 We also clarify that in so far as the provisions of Section 139 AA of the Income Tax Act, 1961 are concerned, the matter stands governed by the judgment of this Court in **Binoy Visman v Union of India**³.

³ Writ Petition (C) No 247 of 2017

15 The above arrangement shall continue to operate pending the disposal of the proceedings before the Constitution Bench.

16 The Registry shall list the entire batch of connected cases for final hearing on 17 January 2018.

.....CJI
[DIPAK MISRA]

.....J
[A. K. SIKRI]

.....J
[A.M. KHANWILKAR]

.....J
[Dr D Y CHANDRACHUD]

.....J
[ASHOK BHUSHAN]

New Delhi
December 15, 2017

ITEM NO.501

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
Writ Petition (Civil) No. 494/2012

JUSTICE K.S.PUTTASWAMY (RETD) & ANR.

Petitioners

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondents

WITH

T.C. (C) No. 151/2013 (XVI-A)

T.C. (C) No. 152/2013 (XVI-A)

W.P. (C) No. 833/2013 (PIL-W)

W.P. (C) No. 829/2013 (PIL-W)

T.P. (C) No. 1797/2013 (XVI -A)

W.P. (C) No. 932/2013 (PIL-W)

T.P. (C) No. 1796/2013 (XVI-A)

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 144/2014 In W.P. (C) No. 494/2012 (PIL-W)

T.P. (C) No. 313/2014 (XVI -A)

T.P. (C) No. 312/2014 (XVI -A)

SLP(Cr1) No. 2524/2014 (II-A)

W.P. (C) No. 37/2015 (PIL-W)

W.P. (C) No. 220/2015 (PIL-W)

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 674/2015 In W.P. (C) No. 829/2013 (PIL-W)

T.P. (C) No. 921/2015 (XVI -A)

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 470/2015 In W.P. (C) No. 494/2012 (PIL-W)

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 444/2016 In W.P. (C) No. 494/2012 (PIL-W)

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 608/2016 In W.P. (C) No. 494/2012 (PIL-W)

W.P. (C) No. 797/2016 (PIL-W)

(FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ANNEXURES ON IA 4/2016, FOR DIRECTIONS ON IA 5/2017 and FOR STAY APPLICATION ON IA 6/2017)

CONMT.PET. (C) No. 844/2017 In W.P. (C) No. 494/2012 (PIL-W)

W.P. (C) No. 342/2017 (PIL-W)

(FOR INTERIM PRAYERS FOR GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF ON IA 1/2017, IA No. 129017/2017-impleading party, IA No.129021/2017- CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION, IA No.129027/2017-impleading party, and IA No.129032/2017-CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

W.P. (C) No. 372/2017 (X)

W.P. (C) No. 1058/2017 (PIL-W)

W.P. (C) No. 966/2017 (PIL-W)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.102155/2017-GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF)

W.P. (C) No. 1014/2017 (PIL-W)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.107091/2017-GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF and IA No.122999/2017-impleading party)

W.P. (C) No. 1002/2017 (PIL-W)

W.P. (C) No. 1056/2017 (X)

Date : 15-12-2017 This matter was called on for pronouncement of

Order today.

For Petitioners

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Adv.
 Mr. Jaideep Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Gp. Capt. Karan Singh Bhati
 Mr. T. Gopal, Adv.
 Mr. Amit Verma, Adv.
 Ms. Tanuja Patra, Adv.
 Ms. Ritu Apurva, Adv.
 Ms. Drishti Singh Rathore, Adv.
 Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Jaideep Singh, Adv.
 Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, AOR
 Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, Adv.
 Mr. Ankur Kashyap, Adv.
 Mr. Prateek Chadha, Adv.
 Mr. Jayavardhan Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Pavan Bhushan, Adv.
 Mr. Vivek Raja, Adv.
 Mr. Hitesh, Adv.

Mr. Anand Grover, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR
 Mr. Prasana S., Adv.
 Ms. N. Srinidhi Rao, Adv.
 Ms. Stuti Vatsa, Adv.

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Pratap Venugopal, AOR
 Mr. Udayaditya Banerjee, Adv.
 Mr. Prasanna S., Adv.
 Ms. Samiksha Godiyal, Adv.
 Ms. Niharika, Adv.

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv.
 Mr. P.B. Suresh, Adv.
 Mr. Udayaditya Banerjee, Adv.
 Mr. S. Prasanna, Adv.
 Ms. Samiksha Godiyal, Adv.
 Mr. Prithu Garg, Adv.
 Mr. Abhay Pratap Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Govind Manoharan, Adv.
 Mr. Vipin Nair, AOR

Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Vrinda Bhandari, Adv.

Mr. N. Sai Vinod, Adv.
Mr. T.V.S. Raghavendra Sreyas, AOR

Mr. Arvind Datar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Prasanna S., Adv.
Mr. Apar Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Deepayan Mandal, AOR

Mr. Koshy John, Adv.
Ms. Pragya Baghel, Adv.
Ms. Anusha Natrajan, Adv.
Ms. Samten Doma, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rahul Narayan, AOR
Mr. Apar Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Annanya Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. Shashwat Goel, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Bhatia, Adv.
Ms. Bhawna, Adv.

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv.

Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, AOR
Mr. Avdhesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. R.K. Rajwanshi, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Shekhar Suman, Adv.
Ms. Deep Shikha Bharti, Adv.
Ms. Rita Gupta, Adv.

M/s. K J John And Co, AOR
M/s. Meharia & Company, AOR
Mr. Prashant Bhushan, AOR
Mr. Abhishek Atrey, AOR
Mr. Shadan Farasat, AOR
Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR
Mrs. Geetha Kovilan, AOR
Mr. Pranaya Kumar Mohapatra, AOR

For Respondents/
Applicants

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, AG
Mr. Zoheb Hossain, AOR
Ma. Ankur Talwar, Adv.
Mr. Shraddha Deshmukh, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Hemantika Wahi, AOR
Ms. Jesal Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Shodhika Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR

Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Jugal Kishore Gilda, Adv. Gen.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

Mr. Chirag Jain, Adv.

Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.

Ms. Nina Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Mudit Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Palak Chadha, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.

Ms. Simran Jeet, Adv.

M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co., AOR

Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR

Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Wills Mathews, Adv.

Mr. Rabin Majumder, AOR

Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Mitra, Adv.

Mr. Biju P. Raman, Adv.

Mr. Vishnu Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Bhavuk Aggarwal, Adv.

Mr. Edward Belho, AAG, Nagaland

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. K. Luikang Michael, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.

Mrs. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.

Mr. Ratan Kumar Choudhuri, AOR

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

Ms. Nitya Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

Mr. T.G.N. Nari, Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Rana, Adv.

Ms. Monisha Handa, Adv.

Mr. Bhagirath N. Patel, Adv.

Mr. Pardeep Gupta, Adv.
Mrs. Mansi Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Moazzam Ali, Adv.
Mr. Wazer Singh Malik, Adv.
Dr. Mrs. Vipin Gupta, AOR
Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Gadnis, Adv.
Mr. V. Gadnis, Adv.
Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.

Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, AOR
Mr. S. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Biju P. Raman, adv.
Mr. Vishnu Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Soumitra G. Chaudhuri, Adv.
Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, AOR

Mr. Karan Bharihoke, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Vijayakumar, AOR
Ms. Maitreyee Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Waquas, Adv.
Mr. P.K. Singh, Adv.

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, AOR
Mr. Mrityunjai Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Yadava, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sharma, AOR
Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Ayiala Imti, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR
Ms. Fauzia Shakil, Adv.
Mr. Ujjwal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mojahid Karim Khan, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG, Rajasthan
Mr. Lalitendu Mohapatra, Adv.
Mr. Shrey Kapoor, Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR

Mr. Leishangthem Roshmani Kh., AOR
Ms. Maibam Babina, Adv.

Mr. Kuldeep S. Parihar, Adv.
Mr. H.S. Parihar, AOR

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG, Haryana
Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen, AOR

Mr. J.S. Attri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Chandra Nand Jha, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR
Ms. Mansi Kapur, Adv.
Ms. Megha Karnwal, Adv.
Ms. Shubhra Kapur, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.
Mrs. Bihu Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Purnima Krishna, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Garg, Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, AOR/AAG, Puducherry
Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramani, Adv.
Mr. S. Manuraj, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Parul Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sajan Poovayya, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Priyadarshi Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Pratibhanu S.K., Adv.
Mr. Saransh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Saransh Jain, Adv.
Mr. Meka Venkatarama Krishna, Adv.

Mr. R. Sudhinder, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Amrita Sarkar, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Datar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nipun Saxena, Adv.
Ms. Pallavi Pratap, AOR

M/s. Lawyer S Knit & Co, AOR
M/s. Meharia & Company, AOR
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, AOR
Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR
Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, AOR
Mr. Dinkar Kalra, AOR

Ms. Rashmi Singhania, AOR
 Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, AOR
 Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, AOR
 Ms. Savita Singh, AOR
 Mr. Jayant Mohan, AOR
 Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR
 Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR
 Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair, AOR
 Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR
 Mr. Mishra Saurabh, AOR
 Mr. Manish Vashishtha, AOR
 Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, AOR
 M/s. Arputham Aruna And Co, AOR
 Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR
 M/s. Corporate Law Group, AOR
 Mr. Garvesh Kabra, AOR
 Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR
 Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR
 Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR
 Mr. Anip Sachthey, AOR
 Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR
 Intervenor-in-person, AOR
 Applicant-in-person, AOR
 Mr. Jogy Scaria, AOR
 M/s. Khaitan & Co., AOR
 Mr. Harsh Kaushik, AOR
 Mrs. Pragya Baghel, AOR

Hon'ble Dr. Justice D.Y. Chandrachud pronounced the order of the Bench comprising Hon'ble the Chief Justice, Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.K. Sikri, Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.M. Khanwilkar, His Lordship and Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ashok Bhushan.

In terms of the signed reportable order, certain directions are issued. The arrangement as per the directions issued shall continue to operate pending the disposal of the proceedings before the Constitution Bench.

Let the entire batch of connected cases be listed for final hearing on 17.1.2018.

(Deepak Guglani)
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)
Assistant Registrar

(Signed reportable order is placed on the file)