

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).8638/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 14/11/2008 in BA No. 2280/2008  
of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

SURENDER SAHLOT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOVT.OF NCT OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay of arrest)

Date: 15/12/2008 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMAFor Petitioner(s) Mr. S.K. Agrawal, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. M.N. Krishnamani, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Abhijeet Chatterjee,Adv.  
Mr. Rana Mukherjee,Adv.  
Mr. Subodh K. Pathak,Adv.  
Mr. Prem Kumar,Adv.  
Mr. S.P.M. Tripathi,Adv.  
Ms. Shashi Ranjan,Adv.  
Ms. Shimpy Garg,Adv.  
Mr. Arman Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha,Adv.For Respondent(s) Dr. Rakesh K. Khanna, Sr.Adv.  
Mrs. Anjana Prabhakar,Adv.  
Dr. Rashmi Khanna,Adv.  
Mr. Pranav Vyas,Adv.  
Mrs. Shailja Sinha,Adv.  
Mr. Surya Kant,Adv.

Contd....2/-

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Heard.

We are not inclined to accept the prayer for protection in terms of Section 438 Cr.P.C. The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the concerned court and moves for bail, the court would do

well to dispose of the application on the day it is presented.

Needless to say

that before the application for bail is moved, the prosecuting agency shall be given intimation as to the date on which the bail application is proposed to be moved. Our rejecting prayer to extend the benefit in terms of Section 438 Cr.P.C. shall not be construed as if we have expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Shashi Bala Vij)  
Court Master

(Neena Verma)  
AR-cum-PS