

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.3

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 958 OF 2010

PREM NATH BALI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

REG.,HIGH COURT OF DELHI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date: 27/06/2012

This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI
[VACATION BENCH]

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ashok Sapra, Adv. for
Mr. Balraj Dewan, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. T.S. Doabia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.
Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.
Dr. Chaudhary Shamsuddin Khan, Adv. for
Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Ashok Sapra, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, learned counsel for the respondents.

One of the findings against the appellant is that the inquiry went on for nine years and that the appellant

2

himself was responsible for the delay.

Learned counsel for the appellant is disputing this fact.

Advocate-on-record for the respondents is, therefore, directed to produce the original file of the inquiry including the roznama of the proceedings to explain as to how the inquiry proceeded for nine years.

List the matter after three weeks.

(Pardeep Kumar)
Court Master

(Renu Diwan)
Court Master